Nottingham Zoning Board of Adjustment September 20, 2016

- 1 Accepted: October 4, 2016
- 2 Members Present: Mike Russo, Chair; Bonnie Winona-MacKinnon, Vice- Chair; Teresa
- 3 Bascom; Peter White, Kevin Bassett, Alternate
- 4 **Members Absent:** Terry Bonser
- 5 Others Present: JoAnna Arendarczyk, Land Use Clerk; Kosma & Joan Stamoulis, Applicants;
- 6 Leonard L. Giles, Abutter
- 7 **Call to order:** 7:00pm
- 8 Alternate seated and voting: Kevin Bassett for Terry Bonser
- 9 **Public Hearing Cases**
- 10 **Public Hearing Opened:** 7:01pm
- 11 Case 16-008-VA
- 12 Application from Kasma and Joan Stamoulis requesting an Variance from Article II Section
- 13 C.1(c) and C.2 of the Zoning Ordinance to permit a two (2) lot subdivision of 7.416 acres, for
- 14 residential use. The property is located at 73 Gebig Rd in Nottingham, NH and is identified as
- 15 *Tax Map 17 Lot 30.*
- Mr. and Mrs. Stamoulis introduced themselves to the Board. They explained their plan to
- subdivide. However, to do so they need approval of the two (2) Variances.
- 18 Mr. Chairman asked the applicants about the discrepancy in the acreage on their tax cards which
- had 6.720ac. versus the acreage in their application of 7.416ac. When he recently drove by the
- 20 property he noted fresh flagging.
- 21 The applicants replied that they had Mr. Landry survey the property- the correct acreage is
- 22 7.416ac. To their knowledge the property had not been surveyed but an official surveyor in the
- past. The tax card has the assessor's measurement.
- 24 After some debate the Board agreed to use the Surveyors 7.416ac measurement. They asked to
- 25 have it noted to discuss with the Planning Board (PB) how they would like situations like this
- 26 handled in the future. (*Noted for October 12th PB meeting*)
- 27 It was also noted that the plans the applicants gave the Board are not "official" because the
- applicants are seeking the approvals for the Variances first. Once they are approved the
- 29 Surveyor will draw up the Subdivision plans with the approvals noted.
- 30 The Board agreed to discuss each Variance separately:
- Variance for Article II Section C.2: 25' buffer requested for the wetlands vs. 50'
- 32 Mr. Chairman noted that the current house is already placed with in the 25' buffer. He added
- that the "wetlands" are not really wetlands but a depression in the land that is wet about 1 month
- 34 of the year.
- 35 It was mentioned that they plan to have separate driveways for each of the properties if the
- 36 subdivision passes.
- 37 Variance for Article II Section C.1(c): Allowing less than 200'x 200' or 30,000sqft
- 38 The Board questioned the applicants about an area on the plan that was boxed off as though there
- may be a cemetery. The applicants stated that they are not sure what the area is but that the stone
- 40 wall goes around it.

Nottingham Zoning Board of Adjustment

September 20, 2016

- The applicants stated that there is not a lot of elevation difference on the property. However the
- back of the lot has poorly drained soils as well as a few areas in the front. The applicants and the
- chairman believe the front poorly drained soil area is due to runoff from the roof.
- 44 **Public discussion:** Mr. Leonard Giles spoke to the Board expressing confusion about the
- location of the wetlands. He was concerned they may be on his side of the property. He added
- 46 that he was not comfortable with the discrepancy on the acreage. Mr. Chairman informed Mr.
- 47 Giles that everything is 150' from his property.
- The Board noted that the 20' setback request on the right hand side is not necessary they feel it
- 49 could be 50' and still get the needed 30K.
- The Board cleared up Mr. Giles' concern by informing him that the new house would be built on
- 51 the other side of the current house so it wouldn't affect him. However he still felt that more
- 52 plans would be necessary to make an educated decision.
- 53 Mr. Chairman read the responses from the five criteria.
- Mr. Chairman informed Mr. Giles that as an abutter the Board takes his testimony however it is
- 55 the Boards job to make the decisions.
- Mr. Giles stated that he understands and also knows that at the Planning Board meeting he would
- 57 have further opportunity to speak as well.
- **Public Hearing Closed:** 7:42pm
- Mrs. Bascom stated that she is not comfortable with approving the requested Variances without
- 60 more information. The plans provided gave little information regarding the wet areas especially
- 61 how poorly drained they are and if they are like a vernal pool.
- The Board discussed the setbacks further and agreed that the applicants meet the required criteria
- to be granted their requests, with one condition that the septic of the new house meet the 50'
- 64 setback.
- Mr. Chairman asked the Land Use Clerk to note a question for the joint ZBA meeting- Why the
- Town uses lot dimension instead of front and rear setbacks.
- 67 Mr. Bassett suggested the Board continue the case until further information can be provided with
- 68 clearer lot lines and dimensions.
- An idea to change the right hand lot line on the existing house lot to 50' was agreed on by the
- 70 Board and the applicants. The Board wondered why the Surveyor outlined the plan the way he
- 71 did. Mr. Bassett suggested waiting for two weeks to continue the hearing to get the explanations.
- **Public Hearing Reopened:** 7:54pm
- 73 The applicants pointed out that there is a "country road" between their property and Mr. Giles.
- 74 The applicants stated that Mr. Landry told them they may be able to get Mr. Giles to agree to
- 75 give the 50% to the middle of the road.
- 76 **Motion made by:** Mrs. Bascom to "approve Case 16-008-VA from Kosma and Joan Stamoulis
- for a Variance from Article II Section C.1(c) and C.2 of the Zoning Ordinance to permit a two
- 78 (2) lot subdivision of their 7.416 acres. With a condition that the request be changed from a 25'
- setback to 50'setback on the right hand side of the property (or eastern boundary) on Gebig
- 80 Road."

Nottingham

Zoning Board of Adjustment September 20, 2016

- Mr. Bassett added that it "maintains a 30,000sq ft buildable area" 81
- Mr. Chairman requested an addition which Mrs. Bascom state: "The new property (which would 82
- be to the left of the house) be required to have the septic within the 50'setback on all sides and 83
- away from the wet or poorly drained soils." 84
- Seconded by: Mr. White 85
- 86 **Vote:** 5-0-0 **Motion Passed**
- **Public Hearing Closed:** 8:04pm 87
- **Staff/ Board Members Update:** 88
- 89 The Board discussed some clerical issues with the Land Use Clerk:
 - 1. Resend the minutes as several board members had e-mail issues recently
- 2. Suggested to add a note to the Public Hearing notices about case documents being 91 92 available on the Town's website
 - 3. Inform the Board when the case documents are uploaded to the website
- 94 4. Include decision sheets in the Board member's binders do document the reasons for their decisions 95
 - 5. E-mail the decision sheets to the Board members to review as it has been a while since they used them and they may want to edit the format
- 98 **Minutes**

90

93

96 97

- June 21, 2016- **Tabled** 99
- August 23, 2016-Tabled 100
- Adjournment 101
- Motion made by: Mr. White to adjourn 102
- 103 **Seconded by:** Mrs. Bascom
- **Vote:** 5-0-0 **Motion Passed** 104
- 105 Adjourn at: 8:14 pm
- 106 For the Nottingham Zoning Board of Adjustment
- 107 JoAnna Arendarczyk; Land Use Clerk