# Nottingham Planning Board March 24, 2021

#### Approved July 14, 2021

- 1 Planning Board Members Present: Dirk Grotenhuis, Chair; Eduard Viel, Vice-Chair; Tiler
- 2 Eaton, BOS Rep; Susan Mooney, Secretary; Gary Anderson, SRPC Rep; Ian MacKinnon; Robert
- 3 "Buzz" Davies, Alternate; Leanne Gast, Alternate
- 4 Others Present: JoAnna Arendarczyk, Land Use Clerk; Stefanie Casella, SRPC Planner;
- 5 Surveyors & Attorneys: Christopher Berry, Berry Surveying & Engineering; Frankiewicz, NH
- 6 Land Consultants; Peter Landry, Landry Surveying; Brett Allard, Attorney
- 7 Applicant: Tami Defrancesco; Sarah Evans; Lee Weldy; Linda Fernald; Joe & Dawn Fernald
- 8 **Abutters:** Kelly LaPointe; User Creed007; Arthur Jenks; Kathie Morris; 603-895-5470; Jennifer
- 9 Menard; Tina Tomas; Fredericks Fernald; Carl Munn; AJ Bachhuber; Some snowmobile dude
- 10 (AJ Bachhuber); Courtney Daigle; Bridget's iPhone; Eric; Katelyn's iphone; Nicole; Eric
- 11 Alternate Seated and Voting: Mr. Davies for Vacant Seat

12

- 13 Call to order: 7: 03pm
- 14 The Chair read the emergency order notice posted in the agenda.
- 15 **Roll call:** To publicly account for the members present
- A short discussion was had about meeting in person. It was decided that the Board would wait for
- 17 BOS direction.

18

- 19 (Italics below indicate language from a public hearing notice)
- 20 **Public Hearing**
- 21 Case #21-004-SUB- Application from Owl Ridge Builders, LLC, requesting to Subdivide a lot
- into two (2) separate lots for two (2) single family homes. The property has frontage on both
- 23 Stevens Hill Road, a scenic road, and Deerfield Road in Nottingham and is identified as Map 52
- 24 Lot 10.
- 25 Application Acceptance-
- 26 Ms. Casella summarized her review (file) and recommended the application be accepted as
- complete.
- 28 **Motion Made by:** Ms. Mooney to accept the application as complete.
- 29 **Seconded by:** Mr. MacKinnon
- 30 **Discussion:** None
- 31 Roll Call Vote: 7-0-0 Motion Passed
- 32 Waivers-
- 33 No Waivers were requested
- 34 Surveyor, Christopher Berry presented the case on the Fernald's behalf. He shared an updated
- 35 plan with circled edits per the staff review. He summarized the quality of the land and the
- Variance approval from the ZBA (January 19, 2021). He noted that the Fire Chief recommended
- 37 the homes be sprinkled. The applicant declines to do this as it is not required. Some extensive
- 38 clearing to improve sight distance per the Public Works Director (PWD) review, is planned as
- well as cutting the grade down.
- 40 As the parcel is on a Scenic Road, Ms. Mooney, representative to the Nottingham Conservation
- 41 Commission (NCC) requested NCC review the case. The applicant agreed to the NCC review to
- be held on April 12, 2021. The NCC requested the trees to be removed be flagged.
- The Chair added a request to stake and flag the driveway as well.
- The driveway location was discussed, the applicant stated that the PWD chose the locations.

# Nottingham Planning Board March 24, 2021

### Approved July 14, 2021

- The Chair requested that both the Subdivision plan and the Topography plan note that the road is
- a Scenic Road, so it is clear to the new homeowners. Additional note change- change the
- language from "wetland delineated" to "wetland reviewed but not found".
- 48 The road easement to the Town is not clearly understood a discussion will be had with the Board
- of Selectmen. The Board requested the language on the plan be edited to state Easement provided
- rather than "potential easement".
- Mr. Berry also noted that the utilities would be run underground as required, they would have to
- 52 communicate the plans with Eversource.
- **Public Comment Opened:** 7:40pm
- Linda Fernald- owner of the land, stated that the parcel is four (4) miles from the Fire Department
- so that is the Fire Protection for the new homes. She also added that the area's electricity is
- served by NH Co-op.
- 57 **Public Comment Closed:** 7:42pm
- The Board determined that a Site Walk by the Board is not needed. Mr. MacKinnon stated that
- 59 he will do his own site visit.
- Ms. Mooney agreed to communicate the NCC review comments to the PB for the April 14, 2021,
- 61 meeting.

66

- Motion Made by: Mr. Viel continue Case #21-004-SUB to April 14, 2021, at 7:00pm.
- 63 **Seconded by:** Mr. Anderson
- **Roll Call Vote:** 7-0-0 Motion Passed
- 65 Mr. Berry noted that he will contact Mr. Demeritt to get on the NCC agenda.
- 67 Case #21-005-LLA- Application from Peter D. Landry on behalf of owner Sarah P. Evans,
- 68 Trustee of The Clifford D. Evans Revocable Trust, requesting a Lot Line Adjustment to adjust the
- 69 existing common boundary line between Map 58 Lot 6 Sublot A and Lot 6 Sublot 1. These
- 70 properties are located at 66 & 70 Ledge Farm Road in Nottingham and are identified as Map 58
- 71 Lot 6 Sublot A and Lot 6 Sublot 1.
- 72 Application Acceptance-
- 73 Ms. Casella summarized her review (file) and recommended the application be accepted as
- complete. She also noted that there are no waivers were requested.
- 75 **Motion Made by:** Mr. Anderson to accept the application as complete.
- 76 **Seconded by:** Ms. Mooney
- 77 **Discussion:** None
- 78 Roll Call Vote: 7-0-0 Motion Passed
- 79 Surveyor, Peter Landry, represented the applicant. He stated that the purpose of the plan is to
- 80 exchange vacant, unimproved land to leave 12 acres for Currant Use status.
- Mr. Viel noted that this is on a Scenic Road and was not stated as such on the Public Hearing
- 82 notice as this is just a Lot Line Adjustment. He also noted that other lots on the plan are
- 83 nonconforming and a shared driveway on the plan serves four (4) lots. The Board determined that
- the plan before them is not making anything more nonconforming.
- **Public Comment Opened:** 8:02pm- NONE
- **Public Comment Closed:** 8:03pm
- 87 Approval-
- 88 **Motion Made by:** Mr. MacKinnon to approve Case #21-005-LLA as presented.
- 89 **Seconded by:** Mr. Viel
- 90 Roll Call Vote: 7-0-0 Motion Passed

Case Closed: 8:04pm 91 92 Continued Case #20-009-SUB (1st 12-9-2020; 2nd 1-27-2021, 3rd 2-10-2021, 3rd 2-24-2021)-93 Application from Marilyn & Jeffrey Cole, requesting to subdivide 31.18 acres into six (6) lots, 94 95 two (2) commercial lots on Rte. 4, one (1) residential lot on Smoke Street and three (3) residential lots on Kennard Road (Kennard Road is a scenic road). The property has frontage on Rte. 4, 96 97 Smoke Street and Kennard Road in Nottingham, NH and is identified as Tax Map 6 Lot 16. 98 Ms. Casella summarized her staff review (file) Surveyor, Scott Frankiewicz, spoke to the changes made: 99 • Will install sprinklers in the homes 100 101 o Trees to be removed are noted as it is a Scenic Road • The application is under DES review 102 Mr. Cole has also requested approval to remove hazardous trees on the lot on Smoke Street. The 103 104 trees are in the no cut zone near wetlands. The proposal is to cut the trees but leave the stumps. The Board agreed that the safety is important. 105 Conditions of Approval to note: threatened species noted in the deeds and the allowance of the 106 trees on Smoke Street be cut but stumps left. 107 Mr. Frankiewicz noted that the wildlife brochure information is in the plans. He requested that be 108 accepted in lieu of including the information in the deeds. 109 The Board agreed that the information in the plans would be sufficient. 110 111 Acceptance-112 **Motion Made by:** Mr. Viel to approve Case #20-009-SUB with the following conditions: ☑ Plan copies with professional seals & signatures - Surveyor - Wetlands Scientist ☑ Original Mylar with professional seals & signatures ☐ State Permits – ☑ Electronic submission per regulations □ Curb-cut (As-builts as required) ☑ Subdivision (Sub Surface/Septic) ☑ All fees paid ☑ Monumentation set ☑ Allowance to remove ~ half dozen pines as discussed during the meeting (Provide documentation Re: the need to remove said trees) Seconded by: Mr. MacKinnon 113 **Roll Call Vote:** 7-0-0 **Motion Passed** 114 Case Closed: 8:20pm 115 116 Continued Case #21-003-SIT- Application from Tami Defrancesco, Trustee of Kubota Trust, 117 requesting approval of a Watercross event to be held three (3) times a year starting in 2021. The 118 property is located at 214 Raymond Road in Nottingham, NH and is identified as Map 69 Lot(s) 119 8 & 10. 120 The Chair noted that the case was accepted as complete at the February 10, 2021, meeting. 121 Ms. Casella summarized her review report (file) 122

The case was re-noticed twice- Once to include the abutters for regional impact and then again

due to some abutters being noticed with the wrong address, so the case was continued to allow

123

124125

time for that re-noticing.

- The Chair noted that there were several public comment letters received (file).
- The Rockingham Planning Commission and the SRPC provided comments (file). These
- comments request a Traffic Plan, Emergency Management Plan. None of the comments led the
- proposal to be a Major Impact.
- 130 Mr. Frankiewicz shared the proposed plan on the screen. He showed an amended flood plain
- 131 map.
- Last year there were about 1,000 spectators over the three (3) days with about 100 crew
- members.
- 134 Ms. Mooney stated that the Chair for the Lamprey River Watershed Association, Sam Demeritt,
- thinks that this application should be reviewed by the Lamprey River Advisory Committee due
- to its proximity to protected wetlands.
- The Chair stated that DES and Fish & Game (F&G) have reviewed the proposal therefore, he
- does not think the extra review is necessary.
- Mr. Frankiewicz was asked to confirm if DES and F&G reviewed the additional use proposal not
- iust the gravel excavation use. He added that he is not certain that a wetland review has been
- 141 done.

143

- 142 Mr. MacKinnon inquired:
  - o Dry camping- define this ("carry in/carry out")
- o Vendor areas-limit number of?
- o Alcohol use?
- o Any proposed changes in topography proposed for parking/camping?
- Ms. Francesco stated that the camping is proposed to be on the resident lot and no hook-ups are
- provided- it is just for the participants to "lay their heads and sleep on". No campfires will be
- allowed. Port-a-potties are provided and pumped regularly.
- A discussion as to if the camping on the residential lot made the use of that lot a commercial use
- 151 for those events (three times a year). The determination was that the use would be considered a
- temporary use for those events.
- 153 It was noted that the gravel pit is a pre-existing nonconforming use for this lot. A discussion
- ensued regarding the additional use in the Residential/Agricultural Zone would require a
- 155 Variance.
- Event Licensing Policy was recently enacted by the BOS. The applicant will be required to
- submit an application for the events, this licensing will run parallel to this approval.
- The applicant's attorney, Brett Allard, stated that the use can be considered an accessory use. He
- stated that for an accessory use NH law states it has to be incidental and subordinate to the
- principal use.
- Ms. Mooney requested a review by LRAC due to the proximity of the Pawtuckaway River on
- 162 site
- Mr. Frankiewicz also noted a berm along the river which is not connected to the pond but along
- side of the pond.
- Mr. MacKinnon expressed concern regarding the request to have LRAC review and whether
- there is authority to require it.
- The DES permit is being processed and recommendations for other reviews would come from
- that report.
- The biggest concern is the noise impact. Atty. Allard noted that the gravel business makes
- 170 regular noise during the week that can be just as loud. The Board recognized the truth in that
- however, the events are proposed during weekend, family times.

- The Chair noted that some of the other issues noted should be recognized as Code/ Health
- Officer previews (i.e., vendor licensing, alcohol use etc.)
- 174 The chair presented a summary spreadsheet of the public comments received which notes the
- comments stand being in favor or opposed to the events.

#### **Public Comment Opened:** 9:12pm

176

177

178 179

180

181 182

183

184 185

186 187

188 189

190

191 192

193

194 195

196

197

198

199

200 201

202

203

204

205

206

- Lee Weldy- 110 Nottingham Road in Raymond- Considers the pit a good neighbor. He
  noted that there is not a noise ordinance and does not feel the event interferes with his
  quality of life.
- o Kathie Morris- 212 Raymond Road- Stated that the event did not stop at 6:00pm due to a storm that interfered with the event during the day. They also continued beyond 6:00pm other times as well. She deems the sound to be sustained between 75-85 decibels which is damaging to hearing. She is also concerned with the water table quality. Concerned that the BOS handled the events from the previous year in an underhanded way.
- The Chair made it clear that there is not an ordinance and therefore, the police do not have anything to enforce. The police do not have decibel meters and even if they did, they do not have an ordinance to enforce it.
- Mr. Viel stated that we do have an ordinance that does call it out as a pollution that should be addressed. However, there are no standards as to how to address it.
  - o Grace LaPointe- 212 Raymond Road- Opposed because of the noise
  - o Jennifer Menard- 166 Raymond Road- Supports the events though she acknowledges the event is a noise issue.
  - The Chair agreed that the events last year were not handled how they could have been. Moving forward, notifications are being done and therefore, the residents are more informed ahead of time.
    - o AJ Bachhuber- 116 Lakeview Drive- Recognize that machines are noisy. He encourages recreation though it may be noisy. The attempt to control all noise is unfounded.
    - Kathie Morris- added that she purchased a decibel meter due to the volume and researched the volume dangers from sound for humans and wildlife. She noted that she does not have funding to escape during the events three times a year.
    - Lee Weldy- quoted from NH RSA 266.59a- Motorcycle noise levels NH allows up to 103 decibels.

#### **Board comments-**

- Mr. MacKinnon stated that the application was submitted as a Site Plan application so it will be reviewed as such. The Board is limited to operational topics and without a noise ordinance we can not address that issue.
- Mr. Viel suggested getting legal opinion to be certain that the Board is addressing the application
- properly. Additionally, getting DOT comments, DES and the wetland bureau and Fish and
- 209 Game.
- The Board agreed with getting legal opinion. The Chair stated he would initiate communication
- with Town Counsel regarding the use of the commercial (pre-existing non-conforming) and the
- 212 accessory use of it.
- 213 Mr. MacKinnon asked if the surveyor could get the AOT permit copy and plan revisions for the
- 214 April, 14, 2021, meeting.
- 215 **Motion Made by:** Mr. MacKinnon to continue Case #21-003-SIT to April 14, 2021, at 7:00pm.
- 216 **Seconded by:** Mr. Davies
- 217 Roll Call Vote: 7-0-0 Motion Passed

218	
219	Staff/ Board Members Update
220	Gary Anderson- SRPC update- SRPC worked on the transportation plans with DOT for 2021-
221	2024. Recommend returning the invitation with Raymond to hold a joint meeting.
222	<b>Tiler Eaton- BOS update-</b> Topics covered during the recent BOS meeting: 300 <sup>th</sup> Celebration
223	plans; Tax credits; Event Licensing Application; Government Aid money; Listings of Town
224	owned properties
225	Ed Viel- will share the webinar slides for the NHMA webinar: "workings of a Planning Board".
226	
227	<u>Adjournment</u>
228	Motion Made by: Mr. Viel
229	Seconded by: Ms. Mooney
230	Adjourn at: 10:02pm
231	
232	For the Nottingham Planning Board ~ JoAnna Arendarczyk, Land Use Clerk