1 Call to Order

Members Present: Eduard Viel, Chairman; Charlene Andersen, SRPC Representative; Teresa
 Bascom, Member; Robert "Buzz" Davies, Alternate.

- Members Absent: Ian MacKinnon, Vice Chair; Susan Mooney, Secretary; John Morin, Select
 Board Ex-Officio Representative; Sherry Sandler, Member; Sandra Jones, Alternate.
- о 7

4

- 8 Alternate Seated and Voting: Mr. Davies was seated and voted for Mr. MacKinnon.
- 9 Others Present: Blair Haney, SRPC; Alana Kenney, Land Use Clerk; Samuel Demeritt,
- 10 Nottingham Conservation Commission Chair; Henry H. Boyd, Jr., Land Surveyor; Mark West,
- 11 Wetland Scientist.

12

13 Call to Order

- 14
- 15 The meeting was called to order at 6:30PM.
- 16 17 No Business (6:30-7:00)
- 18
- 19 Election of Officers
- 20

Mr. Viel thanked Gary Anderson, SRPC Representative, for his years of service not only to the
Planning Board but also to other town organizations and committees.

- 23
- Mrs. Bascom made the motion to nominate Mr. Viel as Chair of the Planning Board. The
 motion was seconded by Ms. Andersen. The motion was unanimously approved by a vote of 40-0.
- 27

28 Ms. Andersen made the motion to nominate Mr. MacKinnon as Vice Chair of the Planning 29 Board. The motion was seconded by Mrs. Bascom. The motion was unanimously approved by

- 29 Board. The motion
 30 a vote of 4-0-0.
- 31

32 It was noted that Ms. Mooney may want to cede her position as Secretary, as she had previously 33 mentioned to Mr. Viel. Ms. Andersen reported that she would be willing to serve as Secretary if 34 Ms. Mooney wanted to step down.

- 35
- Mrs. Bascom made the motion that Ms. Andersen serve as Acting Secretary until a formal vote is made on that role. The motion was seconded by Mr. Davies. The motion was unanimously approved by a vote of 4-0-0.
- 39
- 40 Mr. Viel noted that there is an open position for a Nottingham representative on the Strafford
- 41 Regional Planning Commission. Mrs. Bascom indicated that she may be interested in the
- 42 position if no one comes forward. Mr. Davies suggested that the Board advertise the open
- 43 position.

44 45 Mr. Viel suggested that the Board nominate representatives for the Budget Committee and Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Committee at a later date, as those committees are not 46 47 meeting at this time. 48 49 **Review By-Laws and Rules of Procedure for the Transaction of Business** 50 51 Ms. Andersen inquired if the Board should add under "Duties" the duty of finding of facts. Mr. Viel suggested adding it to the Application Hearings checklist. Ms. Andersen voiced support of 52 this. Mr. Viel advised that there were a couple of new state laws that went into effect this year 53 54 that impact the Planning Board as well as the Zoning Board of Adjustment, one of which 55 addresses the enforcement so the respective boards document the "finding of fact", as this would explain the reason a board voted a certain way. 56 57 Mr. Viel stated that another thing worth noting is the State recommendation to not accept 58 59 applications as complete unless they are fully complete with every piece of supportive information that would assist in processing the application. Mr. Davies inquired as to whether or 60 not this would include an application that is awaiting something from the State. Mr. Viel 61 suggested that this would likely be an exception. 62 63 Mr. Viel noted that there were no changes to the By-Laws and therefore a motion to accept would 64 65 not be necessary. 66 Ms. Andersen asked if new members would need to sign acknowledging that they have read and 67 understood the *By-Laws*. It was decided that this would be done at the next meeting to give new 68 69 members time to review. 70 71 **Public Hearings** 72 Case # 23-002 SUB Concrete Products – 100 Smoke Street (Continued): Application from 73 74 Concrete Products of Londonderry requesting Planning Board Approval of a 3-Lot 75 Residential Subdivision utilizing the existing frontage on Smoke Street. The property is located at 100 Smoke Street in Nottingham, NH and is identified as Tax Map #11, Lot #3. 76 77 78 Henry H. Boyd, Jr., came forward and introduced himself as representing Millenium Engineering 79 and Mark West, Wetland Scientist, on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Boyd reported that, the last

time his team was before the Board, the Board had requested that they reconfigure the lots as
they had them. Originally, the plans showed four (4) lots, which was reduced to three (3) lots.

- 82 The Board had suggested that Lots #1 and #2 be made smaller so that Lot #3 could wrap around
- them. Mr. Boyd reported that he had discussed this with his client and that the client is amenable
- to this. Mr. Boyd advised that they were also asked to speak to the Lamprey River Advisory
- 85 Committee (LRAC), which they have done. He stated that they contacted Mr. West who asked
- that the plans include a fifty (50) foot natural woodland buffer, a one-hudred fifty (150) foot

buffer, and two (2) two-hundred fifty (250) foot shoreland setbacks. Mr. Boyd reported that all of
these are reflected on the plans.

89

90 Mr. West came forward and presented Board members with a response letter from LR.	AC. He
91 stated that back when this project started, the LRAC was consulted by the Nottingham	
92 Conservation Commission (NCC). At that time, LRAC reported that they get involved	with
93 projects when there is a wetland permit or a shoreland permit. Mr. West reported that l	
94 hoping to address any issues and answer any questions that the Board might have for t	he LRAC.
95 He made the following points:	
• Pertaining to the Aquifer Protection Regulations, which regulate manure mana	gement,
97 hazardous waste, and things of that nature, a house lot of this size would not ha	ive an
98 issue complying with that regulation. There is nothing in this proposed subdivi	sion that
99 would trigger an impact.	
• Per his own functional assessment, there are no proposed wetland impacts. It is	s up to the
101 Board as to whether or not they want to restrict the use of the land.	
• Pertaining to the FEMA flood plane, it is recommended that construction be re	stricted in
that area. This lot could be developed without obtaining a shoreland permit.	
• If a shoreland permit is applied for, the LRAC would ask for a Natural Heritag	e Bureau
105 data search as well as determine impervious areas.	
• No turtle nests, eggs, or evidence of turtle digging were found on the site.	
• If the applicant applies for a wetland permit, the NCC would review the plans.	If the
108 applicant applies for a shoreland permit, the Town Clerk would be notified.	
• As for whether or not the driveways will be paved, that is not known at this tim	ne.
• Silt sock is now widely used for erosion control.	
111	
	s. Mr.
 Mr. Viel asked Mr. Haney if he would like to speak to any updates on the revised plan Haney noted that a wetland scientist stamp and signature would be needed on the final 	

114

Mr. Viel noted that there was a miscommunication regarding whether or not an applicant had to 115 116 be present before the NCC in order for them to review and provide feedback on a plan set. He 117 further noted that, at a prior meeting, the Board had accepted the application as complete as well as approved a motion that the case is not a development of regional impact. He reiterated what he 118 119 had mentioned in a prior meeting that the well radius of Lot #3 has to fit within the new 120 boundaries, as per the Subdivision Regulations. He further noted that, before this case came 121 before the Board, the Board had voted on some additional Zoning Regulations that have since 122 passed via a vote from the Town. This case is subject to those additional regulations, which include a twenty-five (25) foot no-disturb vegetative buffer around wetlands as well as changes 123 124 to the Aquifer Protection District.

125

126 127 128 129	Mr. Viel read some comments previously submitted by Mr. MacKinnon in anticipation of his absence from this meeting. He requested additional pins around some of the boundaries. He inquired as to the 3.54 acre area of Lot #1 and whether or not this includes everything below the wetlands. Mr. Boyd responded that this is correct.
130	
131 132 133 134	Mr. Boyd reported that it would be a good idea for his team to add the twenty-five (25) foot buffer to the plans for recording. Mr. Viel stated that some applicants erect placards to help landowners to identify where the buffer is. Mr. Boyd asked if that is a requirement. Mr. Viel replied that it would be up to the Board.
135	
136 137 138	Mr. Viel, reading from Mr. MacKinnon's comments, advised that the deeds should reflect any of the addition restrictions that impose upon these lots, in particular, the Aquifer Protection District, so that any landowner purchasing these lots would be aware.
139	
140 141	Mr. Viel stated that this plan set appears to be much better than the one the Board reviewed previously.
142	
143	Mr. Viel opened the public hearing at 7:27PM.
144	
145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153 154	Samuel Demeritt, NCC Chair, came forward and inquired as to whether or not the Natural Heritage Bureau (NHB) had been contacted. Mr. West responded that he had not contacted them because their services are not triggered for this type of project. He further advised that, in order to trigger the need to contact them, the applicant needs to be applying for an Alteration of Terrain permit or a Wetlands or Shoreland permits. He said that any landowner can ask for a check, but that the NHB would just refer to a list of species that are known to occur in Nottingham. He reported that he has never had to contact the NHB for anything other than state requirements. He suggested that one might not be able to obtain a NHB data search unless they are applying for one of those three permits. He noted that he had been asked to do a turtle search of the property because there is an endangered turtle species in a habitat across the street.
156	Mr. Viel closed the public hearing at 7:29PM.

157

Mr. Viel suggested that, to avoid concern or confusion, the applicant add a note to the plan set to
indicate that no work is being proposed within the shoreland setback area. Mr. Boyd indicated
that they would do so.

161

Mrs. Bascom inquired as to whether or not a potential buyer of Lot #3 would be advised of the restrictions before purchasing the lot. Mr. Viel responded that that would depend on whether or not the buyer has done their due diligence. Ms. Andersen advised that the potential buyer could, and probably should, pull the deed in order to look at the history of the lot.

166

167 Mr. Davies asked if the final plan set would clarify the building envelope of Lot #3. Mr. Boyd 168 reported that it would, and that it did not on the current plan set due to a printing error.

169

Mr. Boyd asked how many copies of the final plan set the Board requires. Mr. Viel respondedthat the Board requires two (2).

172

Ms. Andersen made the motion to conditionally approve Case # 23-002 SUB Concrete Products (100 Smoke Street) with the following conditions:

- **•** Standard conditions of approval
- Note on the plan, to be recorded on the Registry of Deeds, that no work would be done
 in the shoreland zone
- Clarify the building envelope in Lot #3
- Place pins on Lots #2 and #3 as required
- Include the twenty-five (25) foot no-disturb buffer around the wetlands
- Note on the plan, to be recorded on the deed, allowances and uses and conditions of
 the Aquifer District
- 183 Shift the well radius of Lot #3

184 *The motion was seconded by Mr. Davies. The motion was unanimously approved by a vote of* 185 *4-0-0.*

186

Other
Case # 22-014 SUB Falzone – Stevens Hill Road. Sign Mylar.
Mr. Viel reported that he signed the Mylar earlier this evening.

193 *Case # 20-003 SUB Diberto – Mitchell Road. Sign Mylar if possible.*

194	
195	Mr. Viel stated that the Board is awaiting final comment from Town Counsel before signing off
196	on the Mylar.
197	
198	Review Recent NHDES Notices / Abutter Notifications
199	
200	Mr. Viel advised the Board that they are welcome to look at a number of shoreline permit
201	applications that are before the Town at this time.
202	
203	Public Comment
204	
205	None.
206	
207	Approval of Minutes
208	
209	None.
210	
211	Miscellaneous / Housekeeping items
212	
213	Application Hearings Checklist
214	
215	Mr. Viel suggested that the "findings of fact" item should be added to the "Application Hearings
216	Checklist" under item #14.
217	
218	Town Budget
219	
220	Mr. Viel thanked the Town for supporting the Town Budget, which included funds for the Town
221	to become a dues-paying member with SRPC. This aligns Nottingham with many other towns in the region. With membership some additional herefits, as well as Nottingham's
222	the region. With membership comes some additional benefits, as well as Nottingham's
223	Commissioners having the opportunity to vote. Mr. Viel asked Mr. Haney to follow up with
224	SRPC and make sure they are aware that Nottingham has the funds to pay for full membership.
225	Impact Food
226 227	Impact Fees
228	Mr. Viel stated that the Impact Fees need to be reviewed, re-adopted, and amended as needed.
229	He advised that in the past, the Board and the Town have used a third-party agency to head this
230	process.
230	process.
232	Master Plan
233	
234	Mr. Viel reported that it has been about ten (10) years since the Master Plan was adopted. The
234	Board will need to review it, do some clean-up, and re-adopt it.
235	Down with nood to review it, do some clean up, and re adopt it.
230	Fees
238	
_55	

239 240 241	Mr. Viel noted that the Board can recommend fees to the Select Board, who then implement them.
242 243	Third Party Review
243 244 245 246 247 248 249	Mr. Viel suggested that the Board along with the new Town Administrator, Ellen White, determine the best way to log hours put in to a project when a third-party reviewer is involved. Currently, the applicant gets billed for the time that Town Counsel spends reviewing a project. Discussion should be had has to whether or not SRPC or other third-party agencies would bill for their time, as well.
250 251	Capital Improvement Project (CIP)
252 253 254	Mr. Viel noted that the Town's CIP has been operating under the Select Board but that it should be operating under the Planning Board.
255 256	Subdivision / Site Plan Regulation Updates
257 258 259	Mr. Viel recommended that the <i>Subdivision Regulations and Site Plan Review Regulations</i> are due for updates now that the ordinances submitted by the Board were passed by town vote.
260 261	Mr. Davies suggested that a list be created by the Board of highest priority items for the year.
262 263	Select Board and Staff / Board Member Updates
264 265	Mr. Davies had no comment.
266 267	Mrs. Bascom had no comment.
268 269	Mr. Viel reminded the Board that there is a meeting scheduled for April 26 th , 2023.
270 271 272	Ms. Andersen requested that she be mailed a hard copy of any plans for review prior to Board meetings.
273 274 275	Mr. Haney advised that there are some grant dollars available for the State to do evaluations of the Town's master plan.
276 277 278	Mr. Viel reminded the Board that members should be treating each other and applicants with respect, as the Board is a professional body.
278 279 280	Adjourn
280 281 282 283	The meeting was adjourned at 8:09PM.

- Respectfully submitted, Rachel Russell Leed, Transcriber