Nottingham Planning Board Meeting Date: Wednesday, September 27, 2023 Official Minutes

- 1 Members Present: Eduard Viel, Chairman; Susan Mooney, Secretary; Teresa Bascom,
- 2 Member/SRPC Alternate; John Morin, Select Board Ex-Officio Representative; Robert "Buzz"
- 3 Davies, Alternate.

4

- 5 Members Absent: Ian MacKinnon, Vice-Chairman; Charlene Andersen, Member/SRPC
- 6 Representative

7

- 8 Others Present: Blair Haney, SRPC Planner; Alana Kenney, Land Use Clerk; Christopher
- 9 Berry, Berry Surveying & Engineering; Dawn Fernald, Owl Ridge Builders; Joe Fernald, Owl
- 10 Ridge Builders

11

12 **Call To Order:** The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

13

14 Mr. Davies was seated and voting for Mr. MacKinnon.

15

16 **Public Hearings**

17

- 18 Case # 23-004 SUB Residences At Fort Hill Smoke Street and Fort Hill Rd Application
- 19 from Berry Surveying & Engineering, on behalf of Owl Ridge Builders, requesting a twenty-
- 20 five (25) lot Open Space Development (OSD) subdivision. The property is located at Smoke
- 21 Street and Fort Hill Road in Nottingham, NH and is identified as Tax Map #23, Lot #11. Two
- 22 conditional use permits have been applied for. Article III, Section B, Item #6 permits a request
- 23 to allow disturbance within 25 feet of a wetland. Article IV, Section S, 8.2 permits a request
- 24 for lots that have a larger than maximum area, allow frontages less than prescribed, and allow
- 25 a reduction to the landscape buffer.

26

- 27 Mr. Berry handed out new color renderings to the Board members. These now show the aquifer
- 28 protection zones.

29

- 30 Mr. Viel reviewed the case to date. So far, the Board has accepted the case as complete,
- 31 requested and received back a review from the third party engineering firm, CMA Engineers, and
- 32 the Board has conducted a site-walk. The applicant also went to the Zoning Board of
- Adjustment and was approved on two (2) variances.

- 35 Mr. Berry explained that the first variance was to permit two (2) lots to have less than 100 feet of
- 36 frontage. The second variance was to permit two (2) lots to have greater than the maximum area
- 37 allowed in an *Open Space Development (OSD)* subdivision.

38

After feedback from CMA Engineers, the Applicant decided to change the proposed roadways by adjusting the curbing and the swales, which they are working on.

41

42 **Waiver Requests** – On file with the town.

43

Waiver # 1 - Section 9.7.1.1 (b) Of the Subdivision Regulations – Streets and Roads: Road
Layout

46

47 The applicant is proposing cul-de-sacs which require a waiver of the Planning Board.

48

Mr. Berry explained by putting in the cul-de-sacs, it is contributing to the spirit and purpose of the *Open Space Development* concept.

51

The motion was made by Ms. Mooney to approve the waiver request to allow cul-de-sacs. The motion was seconded by Mr. Morin. The motion passed by a vote of 4-1-0.

54 55

Waiver # 2 - Section 15.2.1 Road Design Standards: Road and Driveway Design

56

The applicant is seeking a waiver to permit Peekaboo Drive and Frederick Drive to be built with a reverse curve containing a tangent less than 100 feet.

59

- Mr. Berry explained that having a longer tangent does allow for a safer and smoother ride.
- Although they have proposed shorter tangents, they have also planned larger radii of the curves
- 62 to offset the negative effect. Extending the tangents would put the roadways closer to wetland
- and perimeter buffers.

64

The motion was made by Ms. Mooney to approve the waiver to permit the roadways to be built with a reverse curve containing a tangent fewer than 100 feet. The motion was seconded by Mr. Morin. The motion passed by a vote of 4-1-0.

68

69 Waiver # 3 – Section 15.2.1 Road Design Standards: Road and Driveway Design

70

- 71 The applicant is requesting a waiver to allow the vertical curve entering the cul-de-sac to be
- fewer than 80 feet in length. 75 feet is proposed on Peekaboo Drive in two locations on
- Peekaboo. A 65-foot curve is proposed on Frederick Drive at the entrance.

- 75 Mr. Berry explained by having these smaller vertical curves it helps to achieve minimum slopes,
- 76 which is important to allow water to run off and avoid icing. There would be no negative effect
- 77 to safety or impede emergency services.

78 79 The motion was made by Ms. Mooney to approve the waiver request to allow cul-de-sacs with a vertical curve of 75 feet. The motion was seconded by Mr. Davies. The motion passed by a 80 81 vote of 4-1-0. 82 83 Waiver # 4 – Section 19.3 Well Radius Placement 84 The applicant is proposing to put well radii to extend off the lot for which they serve. 85 86 Mr. Berry explained that sometimes the well radii extend into the open space area and sometimes 87 they extend into abutting lots. 88 89 90 Mrs. Bascom explained that she disagrees with the small lots in an open space development and 91 does not think well radii should be overlapping on abutting lots because it is an important feature of a lot that should not be shared with a neighbor. 92 93 94 Mr. Berry discussed the state law regarding well radii and that it allows for the radii to go off the property. By overlapping them, it is dedicating a specific area for wells and still allowing for 95 water quality. 96 97 98 The motion was made by Ms. Mooney to approve the waiver request to allow the well radii to be off the lot for which they serve. The motion was seconded by Mr. Morin. The motion 99 100 passed by a vote of 4-1-0. 101 **Conditional Use Permits** (**CUP**) – On file with the town. 102 103 104 **CUP #1 - Section 8.2 (g) Landscape Buffers** 105 The applicant is requesting to permit the landscape buffer requirement to be reduced in three key 106 areas: the entrance to Frederick Lane to permit the Stormwater Gravel Wetland (SGW) and 107 108 reshaping to permit a safer road alignment, to permit Frederick Lane to be placed closer to the 109 southern adjacent boundary line, and to permit the Infiltration Rain Garden to be placed with the perimeter buffer at the end of Frederick Lane. 110 111 112 Mr. Viel read the applicant's request. He also read the response from CMA, which was supportive of this request. It stated that "The application does a good job of designing the best 113 project possible and provides for mitigation in key areas ..." 114

Mr. Berry explained further details about the request.

115116

- Mr. Viel indicated that by placing the gravel wetland so close to the road, it opens up the space
- for the subdivision to be noticed more easily (from Smoke Street). He suggested adding more
- plantings and vegetation to screen the view.

121

- Mr. Berry confirmed the applicant would be willing to add those to the back of the gravel
- 123 wetland area.

124

- Ms. Mooney suggested also adding more tree shielding on lot # 11-20 so they are not
- overlooking the wetlands from the house. Mr. Berry agreed that they did have that in their plan.

127

- Mr. Viel reiterated the criteria for approval of a Conditional Use Permit and invited a motion.
- The Board agreed they needed more discussion regarding these permits. Mr. Berry pointed out
- the eight (8) page explanation they provided.

131

- Mr. Haney questioned where the discharge would flow from the infiltration pond #106 to the
- ground wetland #102. Mr. Berry confirmed that it would discharge to the wetlands. He
- explained that the roadside swale in that area is larger and not a typical road-side swale. It is
- specifically dedicated to the very entrance of the project. The swale itself is a grass swale but
- there are check dams in front of it. The swale would be close to the wetland up to five (5) feet
- due to the required elevation. Maintenance would require moving about once a year.

138

- Mr. Berry stated that they would be submitting a wildlife study focusing on Blanding's turtles in
- the area.
- He continued to discuss environmental impact which is explained in the referenced letter.

142143

CUP # 2 – Article III, Section B.6 Wetland Buffers

144

- The project proposes impacts within the 25' wetlands buffer at the entrance to Peekaboo Drive.
- The impact within the 25' foot buffer is 5,627 square feet. The impact is eligible for a
- 147 Conditional Use Permit under Article III, Section B, Item #6 whereas the impacted areas are not
- adjacent to Vernal Pools. (Item #3 (b) Vegetative Buffers).

149

- 150 Ms. Mooney made the motion to approve the Conditional Use Permit for Article III, Section
- 151 B.6. which permits the request to allow Item #2 to allow a disturbance within 25 feet of a
- wetland. Mr. Morin seconded the motion. The motion passed by a vote of 4-1-0.

- 154 Ms. Mooney made the motion to approve the Conditional Use Permit for Article 8.2 (g) which
- permits the request to allow a disturbance within the 100-foot landscape buffers at the
- entrance to Frederick Lane, on Frederick closer to the power line, and to permit the rain

garden at the end of Frederick Lane. This motion was conditional on additional tree planting as discussed. Mr. Davies seconded the motion. The motion passed by a vote of 4-1-0.

158159160

157

Aquifer Protection Zone

161

162 Mr. Berry wanted to discuss the Aquifer Protection Zone and the comments from CMA made in their review regarding that. He wished to clarify that the *Open Space Development* is an overlay 163 which controls the lot sizing, as suggested by SRPC in a previous meeting. He also wanted to 164 165 share the plans he produced showing what was in the Aquifer Protection zone. He admitted that two (2) of the lots are 100% in the Aquifer Protection Zone. There were a few other lots that just 166 touched the zone, without any building including septic or wells in the specific Zone areas. He 167 stated that if required, they would re-submit plans to so that the lots were not at all in the Aquifer 168 Protection Zone. 169

170

- Mr. Viel pointed out that in the past the yield plan was based on any lots within the Aquifer
- Protection Zone requiring the larger area, not just a portion of them not in the zone. He also
- stated that if any portion of the lot is in the zone, the 10% impervious surface applies.

174

Mr. Berry stated that if an aquifer study is going to be required for two (2) lots within the zone and three (3) touching it, they will revise the plans.

177

The Board agreed they would prefer that the applicant remove three (3) of the lots from the Aquifer Protection Zone. Mr. Berry agreed they would be willing to do that.

180

Mr. Berry confirmed they would be providing Home Owners Association (HOA) documents along with road bonds.

183

- 184 Ms. Mooney made the motion to continue Case # 23-004 SUB Residences At Fort Hill –
- Smoke Street and Fort Hill Rd to October 25, 2023 with deliverables due by October 18. Mr.
- Davies seconded the motion. The motion passed by a vote of 5-0-0.

187

- 188 Case # 23-008 SIT Claar 55 McCrillis Rd Application from R. Gregory Claar for a Site
- 189 Plan Review to run a small, wood processing business on the property, which currently does
- 190 not have a dwelling on it. The proposed use will include storing wood, which has been cut and
- transported from outside sources to be sold and transported by a small pick-up / dump truck. It
- would also serve as a storage space for vehicles and equipment used in the paving business.
- 193 This property is located at 55 McCrillis Rd. in Nottingham, NH, and is identified as Tax Map
- 194 # 39, Lot # 13-8.

Mrs. Bascom made the motion to continue Case # 23-008 SIT Claar - 55 McCrillis Rd to 196 December 13, 2023 with deliverables due by December 1. Mr. Morin seconded the motion. 197 The motion passed by a vote of 5-0-0. 198 199 200 Mr. Viel will send a letter to the applicant that the December 13 date will be the final opportunity for the Board to hear this case before dismissal. 201 202 203 **Budget** 204 205 Mr. Viel stated that the actual budgets for 2023 Planning Board office supplies and advertising would be split properly between the Planning Board, Zoning Board, and Building Department. 206 Some of these budget items were improperly assigned to Planning. 207 208 209 **Planning Board Fees** 210 The Board decided to increase the fee charged to applicants for newspaper ads to keep pace with 211 212 rising costs. Adding money to the required escrow to cover postage for materials mailed to Board members was considered. Mr. Viel suggested adding \$10,000 to the budget for the Master 213 Plan. The Board also needs to include funds for an Impact Fee review. 214 215 216 **CIP** 217 Ms. Andersen had volunteered to be on the CIP Committee. 218 219 Mrs. Bascom made the motion to vote Ms. Andersen to the CIP committee. Ms. Mooney 220 221 seconded the motion. The motion passed by a vote of 5-0-0. 222 223 **Minutes** 224 Mr. Viel suggested making three (3) changes to the minutes which he read aloud and indicated 225 226 he would provide to Miss Kenney after the meeting.

228 Updates

227

229

231

- 230 Mrs. Bascom stated she would be attending a training session presented by the SRPC.
- Mr. Morin noted that the CIP Building Committee and how it has assessed the list of needs. It would be a busy month for the Select Board.

Ms. Mooney spoke of past letters from the Transportation Subcommittee to the Select Board and 235 how there were still some remaining items to be addressed. 236 237 Mr. Haney suggested that all Board members sign up for the new Plan Link List Serve, which is 238 239 a crowd-sourcing website to help answer land use questions. Also, this month's webinar from SRPC was going to be about CIP. 240 241 Mr. Viel sent the current subdivision regulations to the Fire Chief and Town Administrator to 242 make sure they were still up to date regarding the town's policy on fire cisterns. 243 244 Mr. Morin made the motion to adjourn the meeting at 10:00 p.m. Mrs. Bascom seconded the 245 motion. The motion passed by a vote of 5-0-0. 246 247 248 Transcribed per video. Respectfully submitted, 249 Alana J. Kenney, Land Use Clerk 250 251 252 Edited by Susan P. Mooney, Secretary