

Joanna Arendarczyk

From: Fawn Woodman
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 1:52 PM
To: Joanna Arendarczyk
Subject: Re: Review request- Case #21-003-SIT Kubota Trust SIT

I believe the issues that we had last year, were one of the days it was raining, so the event got extended and ran late and we received numerous complaints regarding the noise level and fireworks being set off that particular night.

Most of the complaints we receive at the Pd during these events is noise complaints.

As far as arrests, or any major incidents associated with this event, to my knowledge, there have been none so far.

Thanks, I hope this assists you.

Fawn

Fawn M. Woodman
Chief of Police
Nottingham Police Department
139 Stage Rd Nottingham, NH 03290
Phone (603) 679-1506 Fax (603)679-1504
fwoodman@nottingham-nh.gov



From: Joanna Arendarczyk <jarendarczyk@nottingham-nh.gov>
Sent: Monday, April 5, 2021 9:32 AM
To: Fawn Woodman <fwoodman@nottingham-nh.gov>
Subject: RE: Review request- Case #21-003-SIT Kubota Trust SIT

Thank you Chief Woodman,

One question that has been asked is for comments on how the event was handled last year. Did your department receive any calls and if so what were the issues and responses?

Thank you,

Joanna Arendarczyk

Land Use Clerk/ E-911 Coordinator

Please Note:

E-mails only received during my office hours:

Mondays, Wednesdays & Thursdays 9am-3pm

P.O. Box 114 or

139 Stage Road

Nottingham, NH 03290

(603) 679-9597 ext. 2

Plan.zone@nottingham-nh.gov

From: Fawn Woodman <fwoodman@nottingham-nh.gov>

Sent: Friday, April 2, 2021 10:36 AM

To: Joanna Arendarczyk <jarendarczyk@nottingham-nh.gov>

Subject: Re: Review request- Case #21-003-SIT Kubota Trust SIT

Joanna,

I apologize this is getting to you so late. I meant to send a response right away, but then of course, I got busy.

The only request/response I would have, is that these events will require a 2-officer detail for each event, billed at our detail rate of pay, which Betsy should have that information for you.

If you need anything else from me, please don't hesitate to reach out.

Thanks,

Chief Woodman

Fawn M. Woodman

Chief of Police

Nottingham Police Department

139 Stage Rd Nottingham, NH 03290

Phone (603) 679-1506 Fax (603) 679-1504

fwoodman@nottingham-nh.gov



From: Joanna Arendarczyk <jarendarczyk@nottingham-nh.gov>
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2021 10:17 AM
To: Fawn Woodman <fwoodman@nottingham-nh.gov>; Jaye Vilchock (nottinghamc1@comcast.net) <nottinghamc1@comcast.net>; Vilchock, Jaye <Jaye.Vilchock@dos.nh.gov>
Subject: Review request- Case #21-003-SIT Kubota Trust SIT

Hello Chief Woodman and Chief Vilchock,

The applicant and Planning Board request comments from you for the Kubota Trust Snowmobile Watercross events case. If you would please review the material attached to this email and send your response by April 7, 2021 that would be appreciated.

Updated plans will be delivered to my office by the end of the day today. If you would like a copy of those plans to review please let me know and I will get them to you.

Thank you,

Joanna Arendarczyk

Land Use Clerk/ E-911 Coordinator

Please Note:

E-mails only received during my office hours:

Mondays, Wednesdays & Thursdays 9am-3pm

P.O. Box 114 or

139 Stage Road

Nottingham, NH 03290

(603) 679-9597 ext. 2

Plan.zone@nottingham-nh.gov



Nottingham Fire - Rescue Department
235 Stage Road
PO Box 114
Nottingham, NH 03290-0114

Business (603) 679-5666
Facsimile (603) 679-1271

Ser # 2021-023

20 February 2021 **Emergency Dial 9 1 1**

Jaye J. Vilchock
Chief

Matthew R. Curry
Deputy Chief

JoAnna Arendarczyk
 Town of Nottingham NH Planning Board, Land Use Clerk
 PO Box 114
 Nottingham, NH 03290

SUBJECT: Review for Fire Protection; Site Plan for KUBOTA TRUST
 (Tax MAP 69, LOT 10) 214 Raymond Rd., Nottingham, New
 Hampshire; Dated January 20, 2021. **Case #21-003-SIT.**

As requested by your 8 February 2021 memo, a fire protection review of
 subject plan was completed by Nottingham Fire Rescue Department
 (NFRD). The following comments are provided for adjudication:

- (1.) Maximum capacity of spectators, vendors, parking, campers need to be provided.
- (2.) Detail of vendor areas needs to be provided to ensure compliance with applicable safety codes.
- (3.) Detail of parking area, pit area, camping area and overflow areas need to be provided to ensure adequate access/egress for emergency services.
- (4.) Descriptions of temporary structures (vendors etc.) needs to be provided to ensure compliance with safety codes.
- (5.) Total number of rest room facilities needs to be scaled to maximum allowed attendance.
- (6.) No fires are permitted.
- (7.) Dedicated EMS services must be on site during event.
- (8.) Spectator seating capacity and style needs to be identified.
- (9.) All food vendors, temporary structures shall comply with applicable provisions of NFPA (1) and NFPA (96). Authority Having Jurisdiction (AHJ) reserves final decision authority in these matters.
- (10.) Access to all neighboring residences shall not be impeded, nor blocked.

If any additional information is needed, please contact the undersigned at Nottingham Fire Rescue Headquarters via 679.5666 during normal business hours.

Sincerely,

Jaye J. Vilchock 20 FEB 2021
 Jaye Joseph Vilchock
 Chief, Nottingham
 Fire Rescue

cc: File
 Building Inspector
 TA



From: Stef Casella <scasella@strafford.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2021 2:29 PM
To: Joanna Arendarczyk
Subject: FW: Nottingham DRI - Kubota Trust

JoAnna,

Please see the below comments from the Rockingham Planning Commission.

Stef

Stefanie Casella

Regional Planner
Strafford Regional Planning Commission
150 Wakefield Street, Suite 12
Rochester, NH 03867
[\(603\)994-3500](tel:(603)994-3500) ext 107
www.strafford.org

Please note that, in line with government advice, I am currently working remotely. My preferred method of contact is my SRPC email.

From: Jennifer Rowden <jrowden@therpc.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2021 1:19 PM
To: James Burdin <jburdin@strafford.org>; Stef Casella <scasella@strafford.org>
Subject: RE: Nottingham DRI - Kubota Trust

My only real substantive comment that could go regional impact or local comment is that they should consider \requiring coordination with neighboring town's emergency services regarding a traffic plan. I've got a similar event that occurs in Fremont and having this cross town traffic coordination for the town roads makes a world of difference.

Good luck!



March 8, 2021

Re: **Preliminary Review** - Development of Regional Impact – Nottingham Planning Board Case #21-003-SIT; Kubota Trust

Dear Members of the Nottingham Planning Board:

Please accept this testimony on behalf of the Strafford Regional Planning Commission (SRPC) in response to your February 10, 2021 declaration that potential for regional impact exists with regard to the aforementioned application. Per NH RSA 36:55, a Development of Regional Impact means any proposal before a local land use board which in the determination of such local land use board could reasonably be expected to impact on a neighboring municipality.

SRPC received formal notice from the Town of Nottingham of said *Development of Regional Impact* on February 11, 2021. We understand that notices were also sent to the Town of Raymond and the Town of Epping. SRPC received a copy of the proposed site plan via email from Scott Frankiewicz of New Hampshire Land Consultants on February 17, 2021 and has also reviewed draft meeting minutes for the February 10, 2021 meeting of the Town of Nottingham Planning Board and other application materials as available on the Town of Nottingham web page for that meeting. We understand the application as a request for site plan approval to conduct Watercross events (typically 2-3 days per event) up to three times a year on a property that currently contains both a private residence and an existing gravel excavation located on NH Rt. 156 in the “Residential/Agricultural” zoning district.

Developments of Regional Impact that are referred to SRPC are considered by SRPC’s Regional Impact Committee, a volunteer board consisting of SRPC commissioners. SRPC staff determined that a quorum of this committee could not be convened prior to the next meeting of the Nottingham Planning Board. The Chair of the Regional Impact Committee has therefore authorized SRPC staff to submit the following comments without holding a public meeting of the Regional Impact Committee.

Transportation, Access, and Parking

Comments: The original application materials do not appear to provide anticipated attendance figures. Written communication from the applicant indicates approximate attendance of 1000 spectators over a three day event plus 20-25 workers and roughly 100 racers and others associated with the event.

A traffic count conducted in 2020 estimates Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) of 2,866 trips on NH Rt. 156 at the Raymond town line (all AADT figures are 2-way figures). The estimated 1125 people associated with an event would represent a 40% increase to this figure if they all arrived on a single day – spread over 2-3 days the impact would be far less noticeable. These trip figures compare to AADT of 9,586 trips on Rt. 4 at the Nottingham/Barrington town line, 17,159 trips on Rt. 125 just south of Hedding Rd., and 44,658 trips on Rt. 101 east of Depot Rd./Beede Hill Rd. Therefore the traffic impacts on the larger transportation network will be absorbed more easily than any localized impacts in the immediate vicinity of Nottingham and Raymond.

Conflicts with Policies, Plans, and Programs - Noise

Comments: Any event that involves operation of motorized equipment, especially in large numbers, is likely to generate additional noise. However, it should be noted that the subject site contains an existing sand and gravel excavation, which would be expected to involve a certain amount of noise from trucks, machinery, and potentially periodic blasting under existing conditions. Aerial imagery shows low-density residential development along Rt. 156 in both Raymond and Nottingham. Any noise restrictions in place in the Town of Nottingham would equally protect abutters in Raymond – we defer to the other abutting municipalities identified in the regional impact referral regarding the precise extent to which noise patterns would be altered by periodic Watercross events and whether any noise ordinances or other regulation in their communities would otherwise prohibit an event of this type.

Hazardous Materials or Substances

Comments: Parking and circulation areas can be sources of non-point-source pollution if vehicles leak oil, coolant, or other contaminants. Similarly, if it is reasonably anticipated that fueling of snowmobiles will occur on site, small leaks or spills could occur as a result. The Nottingham Planning Board may wish to consider whether areas designated for vehicle parking, camping, and fueling are sufficiently separated from surface water bodies to allow any runoff from these areas to infiltrate and be treated before releasing into the surface water. Watercross would also include potential for an accident involving a snowmobile close to or in the surface water itself; such an accident could result in direct release of vehicle fluids or metals directly into the water. We defer to the Nottingham Planning Board to assess the likelihood of such an accident as compared to other permitted activities such as boating. The applicant has indicated that only dry camping will be permitted in association with the proposed events – this limitation should be made explicit in plan notes and/or conditions of approval to facilitate enforcement and avoid additional nutrient loading on site.

Ecology and Resources

Comments: Surface water maps show the Pawtuckaway River and minor tributaries crossing on or adjacent to this property; these in turn are tributaries of the Lamprey River. As discussed above, these surface water bodies can be sensitive to pollution via runoff. The Lamprey River Advisory Committee may have information regarding the watershed and its habitats on their website at <https://www.lampreyriver.org/>.

SRPC staff also understands that particularly intensive snowmobile traffic through a jurisdictional wetland may constitute a wetland impact requiring a permit from the NHDES wetland bureau. While those permits typically seem to apply principally to high-traffic trails used year-round, the applicant may wish to confirm with NHDES that no such permit is required in this case.

While some loss of topsoil or sedimentation may occur, this site is also used as a sand and gravel excavation; the interplay between these operations should be considered, including whether approval of this proposal would violate any existing conditions of the sand and gravel operation, including any required erosion control measures or reclamation plan.

BARRINGTON
BROOKFIELD
DOVER
DURHAM
FARMINGTON
LEE
MADBURY
MIDDLETON
MILTON



NEW DURHAM
NEWMARKET
NORTHWOOD
NOTTINGHAM
ROCHESTER
ROLLINSFORD
SOMERSWORTH
STRAFFORD
WAKEFIELD

Hazards – Public Health and Safety

Comments: No aspect of this proposal appears to increase the likelihood of flooding, landslide, or other reasonably anticipated event, particularly when considered regionally.

Facilities

Comments: The proposed operation does not appear to require expansion of any public facility in adjacent municipalities.

Scenic and Visual Character

Comments: The application does not appear to alter the scenic or visual character of the broader region. We defer to Nottingham and the abutting municipalities regarding interpretation of any impacts to their own scenic and visual character as a result of this proposal.

Housing and Population Growth

Comments: No residential development is proposed, nor would any existing residential units be displaced by this proposal. Nearby properties along Rt. 156 are developed with low-density residential development of a similar nature in both Nottingham and Raymond. The potential for noise impacts to these properties has been discussed above.

We hope that these comments will be useful to you in your review of this project. All materials should be used for informational purposes only. The scope of SRPC's review is intended to focus on the regional impacts of this application, and does not duplicate the Nottingham Planning Board's review for consistency with Town ordinances and regulations. Similarly, references to land use policies in referenced municipalities are not the result of a comprehensive regulatory review, and SRPC defers to these municipalities to provide more comprehensive feedback regarding consistency of the proposed development with their regulations and with the character of their community. Please do not hesitate to contact James Burdin at JBurdin@strafford.org or 603-994-3500 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "James E. Burdin".

James E. Burdin, AICP
Strafford Regional Planning Commission

From: Epping Planner <planner@townofepping.com>
Sent: Monday, February 22, 2021 1:12 PM
To: Stef Casella
Cc: Joanna Arendarczyk
Subject: RE: Nottingham Development of Regional Impact

The Epping Planning Board has the follow comment regarding the application for 214 Raymond Road in Nottingham –

- The Board’s concern is potential noise impact for the residents on Blake Road in Epping. Aside from that, the Board sees no impacts for this proposal.

Regards,

Kellie Walsh
Town Planner | Code Enforcement Officer
157 Main Street
Epping, NH 03042
603-679-1224 ext. 33
planner@townofepping.com

From: Stef Casella <scasella@strafford.org>
Sent: Friday, February 12, 2021 11:08 AM
To: Epping Town Administrator <administrator@townofepping.com>; Epping Planner <planner@townofepping.com>
Cc: Joanna Arendarczyk <jarendarczyk@nottingham-nh.gov>
Subject: Nottingham Development of Regional Impact

Hello Ms. Walsh and Mr. Dodge,

My name is Stefanie Casella, I am the town planner for the town of Nottingham. I wanted to let you know the Nottingham Planning Board received a site plan application for 214 Raymond Rd and determined it to be a development of regional impact. You will be receiving formal notice in the mail as you were named as a potentially impacted community, but I wanted to give you a heads up the public hearing will be held on March 10, 2021. Please refer to the [Nottingham Planning Board](#) page for the existing materials, and let me know if you would like digital or hard copies of the plan set.

Please feel free to reach out with any questions or comments.

Best,
Stef

Stefanie Casella
Regional Planner
Strafford Regional Planning Commission
150 Wakefield Street, Suite 12
Rochester, NH 03867
[\(603\)994-3500](tel:(603)994-3500) ext 107

www.trafford.org

Please note that, in line with government advice, I am currently working remotely. My preferred method of contact is my SRPC email.

**NOISE (GENERAL) BY-LAWS
TOWN OF EPPING**

*Accepted
3/20/01
Town Meeting*

I. PURPOSE:

- A) It is found and declared that the Town of Epping must act to prevent and control the creation and making of loud, unnecessary, unusual, or unreasonable noise which continues to increase in extent and volume;
- B) the making, creation or maintenance of such loud, unnecessary, unnatural, unusual or unreasonable noises which are prolonged, unusual or unreasonable in their time, place and manner of use, are a detriment to public health, comfort, convenience, safety, welfare and prosperity of the Town's residents and should be strictly controlled;
- C) The provisions and prohibitions hereinafter contained and enacted are designed to promote the public policy of controlling noise which has a detrimental effect on life in the Town, and are in pursuance of, and for the purposes of securing and promoting the public health, comfort, convenience, safety, welfare and prosperity and the peace and quiet of the Town and its inhabitants.

II. GENERAL PROHIBITIONS:

- A) It shall be unlawful for any person to make, continue, cause or allow to be continued, any loud, unnecessary, unusual, or unreasonable noise, or any noise which either annoys, disturbs, injures, or endangers the comfort, repose, health, peace or safety of others within the limits of the Town of Epping;
- B) It shall be unlawful for any person to make, cause or allow to be made or continued, any unnecessary, unusual or unreasonable noise in a public place, or in a private place which noise can be heard in a public place or in other private places, which noise would disturb a person of average sensibilities.
- C) **EXCEPTIONS:**

- 1. These provisions shall not apply to persons operating vehicles, equipment or machinery while engaged in snow clearance or snow removal operations, provided such operations are conducted within seventy-two (72) hours of cessation of a snow storm with an accumulation of at least three inches (3") of snow as recorded by the U.S. Weather Service.
- 2. These provisions shall not apply to police, fire or emergency service providers, or public works departments engaged in normal emergency activities, nor shall they apply to public utility companies actively engaged in emergency repair or restoration of service activities.

III. SPECIFIC VIOLATIONS ENUMERATED:

The following acts, among others, are declared to be loud, disturbing and unnecessary noises in violation of this by-law, but the enumeration shall not be deemed to be exclusive, namely:

A) **Horns, signaling devices, etc.** The sounding of any horn or signaling device on any automobile, motorcycle, or other vehicle on any street or public place in the Town, except as a danger warning;

1. the creation by means of any such signaling device of any unreasonable noise for an extended period of time;
2. the use of any signaling device except one operated by hand or electricity;
3. the use of any horn, whistle or other device operated by engine exhaust;
4. the use of any such signaling device when traffic is, for any reason, held up.

B) **Radios, phonographs, etc.**

1. The using, operating, or permitting to be played, used or operated any radio, receiving set, musical instrument, phonograph, or other machine or device for the producing or reproducing of sound in such manner as to disturb the peace, quiet and comfort of the neighboring inhabitants, or any time with louder volume than is necessary for the reasonable hearing for the person or persons who are in the room, vehicle or chamber in which such machine or device is operated and who are voluntary listeners thereto.
2. The operation of any such set, instrument, phonograph, machine or device by a commercial establishment between the hours of 10:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. in such manner as to be plainly audible at a distance of fifty feet (50') from the lot line, structure or vehicle in which it is located shall be prima facie evidence of a violation of this section.
3. The same shall be true for operation of these devices inside a residence when said machine or device is plainly audible in public or private places nearby and the noise therefore would disturb a person of average sensibilities.

C) **Loudspeakers, amplifiers for advertising.** The using, operating or permitting to be played, used or operated of any radio receiving set, musical instrument, phonograph, loudspeaker, sound amplifier or other machine or device for the producing or reproducing of sound which is cast upon the public streets for the purpose of commercial advertising or attracting the attention of the public to any building, structure, or vehicle in such a manner that the peace and good order of the neighborhood is disturbed; or that persons, owning using or occupying property in the neighborhood are disturbed or annoyed.

- D) **Yelling, shouting, etc.** Yelling, shouting, whistling, or singing on the public streets, particularly between the hours of 10:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. or at any time or place so as to annoy or disturb the quiet, comfort, or repose of persons in any office, or in any dwelling, or other type of residence, or of any persons in the vicinity.
- E) **Animals, birds, etc.** The keeping of any animal or bird which by causing frequent or long continued noise shall disturb the comfort or repose of any person in the vicinity.
1. Provided, however, that there is an exemption for those raising livestock and poultry in an area zoned for agriculture, and for conservancy areas.
- F) **Schools, Courts, Churches.** The creation of any excessive noise on any street adjacent to any school, institution of learning, church or court while the same are in use/session which unreasonably interferes with the workings of such institution, or which disturbs or unduly annoys.
- G) **Hawkers, peddlers.** The shouting and crying of peddlers, hawkers, and vendors which disturbs the peace and quiet of the neighborhood.
- H) **Drums.** The use of any drum or other instrument or device for the purpose of attracting attention by creation of noise to any performance, show or sale.
- I) **Metal rails, pipes, pillars and columns, transportation thereof.** The transportation of rails, pipes, pillars or columns of iron, steel or other material, over and along streets and other public places upon carts, drays, trucks, or in any other manner so loaded as to cause loud noises or as to disturb the peace and quiet of such streets or other public places.
- J) **Pile drivers, hammers, etc.** The operation between the hours of 9:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M. of any pile driver, drill, power shovel, pneumatic hammer, derrick, steam or electric hoist or other appliance, the use of which is attended by loud or unusual noise.
- K) **Blowers.** The operation of any noise-creating blower or power fan or any internal combustion engine, the operation of which causes noise due to the explosion of operating gases or fluids, unless the noise from such engine is equipped with a muffler device sufficient to deaden such noise.

IV. PENALTIES:

Any person violating any of the provisions of this Article shall be guilty of a violation and upon conviction thereof, shall be liable for a penalty of not more than one hundred (\$100.00) dollars for the first offense, and up to the maximum penalty allowed by law for each subsequent offense.

V. VALIDITY:

If any section or part of a section or paragraph of this Article is declared invalid or unconstitutional, it shall not be held to invalidate or impair the validity, force or effect of any other section or sections, or part of a section of paragraph of this Article.

Selectmen's Public Hearing: _____

This ordinance shall take effect at Midnight on _____

EPPING BOARD OF SELECTMEN

This is to certify that on the ____ day of _____ 2001 at Epping Town Hall the above By-law was filed and recorded with the records of the Town Clerk of the Town of Epping, New Hampshire.

Witness my hand _____
Linda Foley, Town Clerk



TOWN OF RAYMOND

Raymond Town Hall
4 Epping Street
Raymond, NH 03077
Telephone: (603) 895-7016
www.raymondnh.gov

Nottingham Town Office
Planning Department
139 Stage Rd.
Nottingham, NH 03290

Dear Mr. Dirk Grotenhuis, Planning Board Chair;

The Raymond Planning Board thanks you for inclusion in your Abutter Notice for Development of Regional Impact per RSA 36: 55 through 36.57, your invitation to the hearing, Wednesday, March 10, 2021 at 7:00 PM to consider the Case #21-003-SIT.

After a lengthy discussion of this case at our February 18th meeting, where a full board was present, concerns for aquifer contamination and noise pollution from the event were raised. However, in our final summation, we determined there was no Raymond town wide impact expected, other than minor economic stimulus from event participants for our commercial establishments.

As such, the Raymond Planning Board will not be sending a representative.

Specifically, we urged concerned Raymond residents to participate in the case hearing as individual citizens.

Again, thank you for considering the Town of Raymond regarding this case. Additionally, might I suggest a future joint meeting between our two boards to discuss other issues of mutual concern.

Attached, please find comments from Raymond Fire Chief, Paul Hammond.

Respectfully submitted.


Jonathan N Wood
Raymond Planning Board Chair

Hi Stephanie,

The following are professional opinion & observations.

I am aware of the water-cross events in Nottingham. Regionally the impact most obvious would be a noise issue. There is noise generated at this event, most prominently the engines of the water-cross machines & the announcer using a loudspeaker system. The Town of Raymond does not appear to have a noise ordinance. There being a complaint from the Stingy River Road area, I measured the distance to the nearest resident (Wallace) at over 2500 feet, half a mile direct line not road distance. This seems a reasonable distance to buffer out some of the impact. Further, This event has a curfew of 6PM.

The second regional impact being traffic. The previous series of 3 separate events over the course of a year did not appear to be scheduled to coincide with any other major events such as the 4th of July, or Memorial Day, when Pawtuckaway State Park may be attracting additional tourists utilizing the Route 156 corridor. It did not appear the traffic generated by this event would interfere with Emergency response based on my direct observations. I understand there were parking attendants on site as well as a Police detail to address any issues that may have arose at the event site. Further, parking was discouraged along the Route 156 corridor by said entities.

Paul Hammond

Fire Chief, EMD

Phone: 603-895-3321

1 Scribner Road, Raymond NH 03077

Lamprey River Advisory Committee



c/o 88 Hedding Road
Epping, NH 03042
www.lampreyriver.org

*Protecting the Lamprey, Little, North, North Branch, Pawtuckaway, and Piscassic rivers
that connect our fourteen communities*

Barrington, Brentwood, Candia, Deerfield, Durham, Epping, Exeter, Fremont,
Lee, Newfields, Newmarket, Northwood, Nottingham, Raymond

April 6, 2021

JoAnna Arendarczyk, land use clerk
Nottingham Planning Board
PO Box 114
Nottingham, NH 03290

Re: Kubota Trust
214 Raymond Road
Nottingham, NH
NHDES File Number: not applicable

Dear Ms. Arendarczyk:

The Kubota Trust lies within the quarter mile corridor of the Lamprey River and changes to the existing permitted uses are subject to review by the Lamprey River Advisory Committee (LRAC) in accordance with NHRSA 483. Upon final review, we offer the following comments:

1. Documents reviewed were Site Plans dated January 20, 2021, Town of Nottingham Subdivision Application dated January 20, 2021, and supplemental materials.
2. The proponent desires to host three watercross events on site each summer. No NHDES permits have been requested and, per the application narrative, "There are no proposed site improvements, grading or earth moving with this application."
3. We do not identify any particular impacts to the river other than loud noises which will disturb the tranquility of the river and the local community. We request that the event sponsors, vendors, and participants take care not to allow litter to enter the Pawtuckaway River, especially at the new spectator overflow area.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this project.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Joseph Foley'.

Joseph Foley, chair

Cc: Nottingham Conservation Commission, Nottingham Planning Board
Stefanie Casella, Strafford Regional Planning Commission
Tracie Sales, NHDES