BERRY SURVEYING \& ENGINEERING


Town of Nottingham Planning Office Attention: Mr. Eduard Viel, Chair 139 Stage Road
P.O. Box 114

Nottingham, NH 03290
RE: Traffic Impact Analysis \& Distribution Frederick Fernald Smoke Street \& Fort Hill Road Tax Map 23, Lot 11 Nottingham, NH 03290

Mr. Chairman \& Members of the Board,

February 15, 2023

Pursuant to the Town of Nottingham Subdivision Regulations, Berry Surveying \& Engineering (BS\&E), on behalf of Frederick Fernald, has prepared a Standard Traffic Impact Analysis for the development of twenty-five single family units on Tax Map 23, Lot 11. The three points of analysis are the intersections of Peekaboo Drive with Smoke Street, Frederick Lane with Smoke Street, and a shared driveway with Fort Hill Road.

The following conclusions were reached as a result Traffic Impact Analysis:

- A total of 15 vehicle trips (4 enter/11 exit) are predicted to occur at the AM peak hour and 19 vehicle trips (12 enter/7 exit) at the PM peak hour for Peekaboo Drive.
- A total of 6 vehicle trips ( 1 enter/5 exit) are predicted to occur at the AM peak hour and 7 vehicle trips ( 4 enter/3 exit) at the PM peak hour for Frederick Lane.
- A total of 2 vehicle trips ( 1 enter/1 exit) are predicted to occur at the AM peak hour and 3 vehicle trips ( 2 enter/1 exit) at the PM peak hour for the shared driveway off Fort Hill Road.
- A total of 23 vehicle trips ( 6 enter/17 exit) are predicted to occur at the AM peak hour and 29 vehicle trips ( 18 enter/11 exit) at the PM peak hour for the entire subdivision.
- It is recommended that the cross section of Smoke Street will be able to handle the minimal projected increase in vehicle trips and peak hour and all other hours.
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## Proposed Development \& Introduction

The proposal is to subdivide Tax Map 23, Lot 11 into twenty-five single family lots. Tax Map 23, Lot 11 is proposed to contain the following: Peekaboo Drive, a 1,564 LF cul-desac road accessed from Smoke Street for access to seventeen single family lots, Frederick Lane, a 1,177 LF cul-de-sac road accessed from Smoke Street providing access to six single family lots, and a shared driveway on Fort Hill Road, providing access to two single family lots. Peekaboo Drive and Frederick Lane are proposed to have 25 -foot pavement entrance radii for emergency vehicle turning, 10 -foot paved travel lanes ( 20 foot total paved width), and 2 foot gravel shoulders on both sides of the roadway. Off-street parking will consist of individual driveways, providing adequate parking for house lots. On street parking will be permitted in all locations on Peekaboo Drive and Frederick Lane. The intersections of Peekaboo Drive and Smoke Street, Frederick Lane and Smoke Street, and the shared driveway with Fort Hill Road are the points of analysis. Peekaboo Drive and Frederick Lane are located 2,000 feet apart. The purpose of this analysis is to determine the maximum number of trips coming to and leaving Peekaboo Drive, Frederick Lane, and the shared driveway on Fort Hill Road during certain peak periods of the day. This information is then used in determining the impact on safety as it relates to the existing roadway infrastructure. The following components of the analysis are typical for a project of this size pursuant to the Institute of Traffic Engineers (ITE) manual.

## Existing Conditions

## Existing Site Description

The existing site consists of Tax Map 23, Lot 11 containing 4,477,048 Sq. Ft. (102.77 Ac.) of land. Tax Map 23, Lot 11 is a vacant lot that is primarily wooded. Tax Map 23, Lot 11 is in the Residential - Agricultural district. Little River Road is located approximately 400 feet from the northern edge of the subject parcel on Smoke Street. Cedar Way is located approximately 0.2 miles to the south of Little River Road. Located approximately 0.2 miles to the south of the subject parcel is the intersection of Smoke Street/Kelsey Road/Mill Pond Road/McCrillis Road.

## Smoke St, Fort Hill Rd, and Surrounding Roadway Descriptions

Smoke Street is a two-lane paved local road. This road provides access to U.S. Route 4/Nottingham to the north and N.H. 125/Lee to the south. It has an Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) of approximately 845 (2021) divided between north and south, as shown in the traffic counts performed by the NHDOT. Smoke Street in the area of the project is composed of a paved twenty-two foot surface. There is no centerline delineation or fog/edge lines provided. The posted speed limit of the roadway is 25

miles per hour (MPH). The geometry of Smoke Street in the area of Peekaboo Drive is relatively flat ( $1 \%$ or less) to the north or south and the proposed roadway will be located near the point of tangency of a roadway curve. The geometry of Smoke Street in the area of Frederick Lane is relatively flat ( $1 \%$ or less) to the north and steeper to the south (3\%) and is located on the apex of a curve. There are no existing sidewalks, crosswalks, or other pedestrian amenities in the area of the project.

Fort Hill Road is a two-lane paved local road. This road provides access to a series of single family homes along Nottingham Lake. Fort Hill Road in the area of the project is composed of a paved eighteen-foot surface that changes to gravel and changes to Swan Drive at the proposed shared driveway location. There is no centerline delineation or fog/edge lines provided. The posted speed limit of the roadway is 25 miles per hour (MPH). The geometry of Fort Hill Road in the area of the shared driveway is relatively flat ( $1 \%$ or less) to the north and south, also is located on the apex of a curve. There are no existing sidewalks, crosswalks, or other pedestrian amenities in the area of the project.

## NH Route 125 and US Route 4

NH Route 125/Calef Highway is classified as an "Other Principal Arterial" by the NHDOT at the location of the traffic count (ID \#62266054). NH Route 125 provides access to Barrington/Rochester/NH Route 16 to the north and Lee/Epping/NH Route 152/NH Route 101 to the south. The 2021 AADT of NH Route 125 was found to be 18,604 vehicles. The subject parcel is accessed from NH Route 125 via West Mill Pond Road \& Mill Pond Road ( 1.8 miles $+/-$ ) or Kelsey Road ( 1.7 miles $+/-$ ).

US Route 4/Old Concord Turnpike is classified as an "Other Principal Arterial" by the NHDOT at the location of the traffic count (ID \#82351054). US Route 4 provides access to Nottingham/Northwood to the west and Madbury/ Durham/NH 16 to the south. The 2021 AADT of US Route was found to be 10,631 vehicles. The subject parcel is accessed from NH Route 125. The subject parcel is accessed from US Route 4 via Smoke Street ( 2.1 miles $+/-$ ). The following figure shows the proposed roadway/driveway locations in relation to the surrounding road network.



Figure 1: Smoke Street with surrounding roadways (NHDOT)

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Traffic counts of Smoke Street have not been performed by BS\&E. From the NHDOT MS2 Transportation Data Management System (NHDOT), the Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) of Smoke Street was found to be 845 vehicles. The 2021 observed AADT is similar to prior years of 2017 (830), 2018 (847), and 2019 (857). It is assumed that the reduction in AADT in 2020 (762), is due to the COVID-19 pandemic.


## Existing Vehicle Speeds

As previously mentioned, the posted speed limit of Smoke Street is 25 MPH . For the purposes of the safety analysis, the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile of speed is required. This section of Smoke Street was observed by BS\&E to analyze the pass by traffic, reviewing pass-by speed. Excessive speeds were observed on occasion, and most operators obeyed the posted speed limits within a deviation of 10 MPH . The $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile derived by observation was estimated to be 35 MPH .

## Proposed Trip Generation

The $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition ITE Trip Generation Manual was used to determine the proposed volume of trips, as well as the percentage of entrance-to-exit traffic experienced at the AM \& PM peak hours between 7 and 9 AM and 4 and 6 PM, and the weekday total volume. Single Family Detached Housing (210) was used in deriving the proposed trip generation for the Peekaboo Drive, Frederick Lane, and the shared driveway. Tables 2-3 provide average trip rate, total trips generated, enter to exit ratio, and the enter to exit distribution for Peekaboo Drive, Frederick Lane, and the shared driveway. Table 4 shows the combined proposed trip generation. As the use of the site will be single family residences, the primary vehicle trips generated will be two axel cars and trucks.

## Single Family Detached Housing Trip Generation Peekaboo Drive:

| Time Method | Weekday Total (Page 2) Dwelling Units |  |  | Time Method | AM Peak Adj. Street (Page 3) Dwelling Units |  |  | Time Method | PM Peak Adj. Street (Page 4) Dwelling Units |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Units | 17 |  |  | \# Units | 17 |  |  | \# Units | 17 |  |  |
| Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.68$ |  |  | Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.91 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.12$ |  |  | Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.94 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.27$ |  |  |
| Total Trips | 198 |  |  | Total Trips | 15 |  |  | Total Trips | 19 |  |  |
| \% Enter | 50 | Total Enter | 99 | \% Enter | 25 | Total Enter | 4 | \% Enter | 63 | Total Enter | 12 |
| \% Exit | 50 | Total Exit | 99 | \% Exit | 75 | Total Exit | 11 | \% Exit | 37 | Total Exit | 7 |

Table 1: (Single Family Detached) Peak hour of adjacent street traffic weekdays AM, PM, weekday total

## Single Family Detached Housing Trip Generation Frederick Lane:

| Time Method | Weekday Total (Page 2) Dwelling Units |  |  | Time Method | AM Peak Adj. Street (Page 3) Dwelling Units |  |  | Time Method | PM Peak Adj. Street (Page 4) Dwelling Units |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Units | 6 |  |  | \# Units | 6 |  |  | \# Units | 6 |  |  |
| Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.92 \mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.68$ |  |  | Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.91 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.12$ |  |  | Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.94 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.27$ |  |  |
| Total Trips | 76 |  |  | Total Trips | 6 |  |  | Total Trips | 7 |  |  |
| \% Enter | 50 | Total Enter | 38 | \% Enter | 25 | Total Enter | 1 | \% Enter | 63 | Total Enter | 4 |
| \% Exit | 50 | Total Exit | 38 | \% Exit | 75 | Total Exit | 5 | \% Exit | 37 | Total Exit | 3 |

Table 2: (Single Family Detached) Peak hour of adjacent street traffic weekdays AM, PM, weekday total


## Single Family Detached Housing Trip Generation Shared Driveway:

| Time Method | Weekday Total (Page 2) Dwelling Units |  |  | Time Method | AM Peak Adj. Street (Page 3) Dwelling Units |  |  | Time Method | PM Peak Adj. Street (Page 4) Dwelling Units |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# Units | 2 |  |  | \# Units | 2 |  |  | \# Units | 2 |  |  |
| Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.92 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+2.68$ |  |  | Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.91 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.12$ |  |  | Fitted Curve Eq. | $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.94 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{X})+0.27$ |  |  |
| Total Trips | 28 |  |  | Total Trips | 2 |  |  | Total Trips | 3 |  |  |
| \% Enter | 50 | Total Enter | 14 | \% Enter | 25 | Total Enter | 1 | \% Enter | 63 | Total Enter | 2 |
| \% Exit | 50 | Total Exit | 14 | \% Exit | 75 | Total Exit | 1 | \% Exit | 37 | Total Exit | 1 |

Table 3: (Single Family Detached) Peak hour of adjacent street traffic weekdays AM, PM, weekday total

## Total Proposed Trip Generation Total Subdivision

| Time Method | Weekday Total (Page 2) Dwelling Units |  |  | Time Method | AM Peak Adj. Street (Page 3) Dwelling Units |  |  | Time Method | PM Peak Adj. Street (Page 4) Dwelling Units |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips |  | 302 |  | Total Trips |  | 23 |  | Total Trips |  | 29 |  |
| \% Enter | 50 | Total Enter | 151 | \% Enter | 25 | Total Enter | 6 | \% Enter | 63 | Total Enter | 18 |
| \% Exit | 50 | Total Exit | 151 | \% Exit | 75 | Total Exit | 17 | \% Exit | 37 | Total Exit | 11 |

Table 4: Total Subdivision Trip Generation

## Turning Analysis

To determine the directional distribution of proposed generated trips, a ratio of the Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) is taken between the two receiving principal arterial roadways (NH Route 125 \& US Route 4). As previously mentioned in data obtained from the NHDOT, the 2021 NH Route 125 AADT at location ID 62266054 was found to be 18,604. The 2021 US Route 4 AADT at location ID 82351054 was found to be 10,631. Using this ratio of AADT's results in the following percentage.

| N.H. Route 125 AADT (2021) | NHDOT Location ID |
| :---: | :---: |
| 18,604 | 62266054 |
| US Route 4 AADT (2021) | NHDOT Location ID |
| 10,631 | 82351054 |
| Trip Generation \% to and From NH Route 125 | 63.6 |
| Trip Generation \% to and From US Route 4 | 36.4 |

Table 5: NH Route 125 \& US Route 4 AADT Values
The ratio of AADTs results in a trip distribution of 63.6\% of generated trips (to the south or from the north) for NH Route 125 and $36.4 \%$ of generated trips (to the north or from the south) from US Route 4. Figure 2 shows the build turning movements to and from Peekaboo Drive, Frederick Lane, and a shared driveway off Fort Hill Road during AM and PM peak hours. This data is used to provide a visualization of trips project to occur to and from the project site.
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Figure 2: Weekday AM \& PM build projected traffic volumes and movements
Tables 6-11 show in a tabular format the total trips that are calculated to occur to and from Peekaboo Drive, Frederick Lane, and the shared driveway are shown at AM and PM weekday peak hours in a build situation. These trips are further broken down into enter and exit to and from the site as well as percentage of left and right turns. Tables 12 and 13 show total directional breakdown of trips generated by the subdivision.

| Time | AM Peak Hour Peakaboo Drive | \# Trips | Turn Type | \% Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips | 15 |  |  |  |
| Trips Enter from Smoke Street Northbound |  | 2.5 | Right | 17.0 |
| Trips Enter from Smoke Street Southbound |  | 1.5 | Left | 9.7 |
| Trips Exit to Smoke Street Northbound |  | 4.0 | Right | 26.7 |
| Trips Exit to Smoke Street Southbound |  | 7.0 | Left | 46.7 |

Table 6: Summary of AM build turning movements to and from Peekaboo Drive

| Time | PM Peak Hour Peakaboo Drive | \# Trips | Turn Type | \% Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips | 19 |  |  |  |
| Trips Enter from Smoke Street Northbound |  | 7.6 | Right | 40.2 |
| Trips Enter from Smoke Street Southbound |  | 4.4 | Left | 23.0 |
| Trips Exit to Smoke Street Northbound |  | 2.5 | Right | 13.4 |
| Trips Exit to Smoke Street Southbound |  | 4.5 | Left | 23.4 |

Table 7: Summary of PM build turning movements to and from Peekaboo Drive


| Time | AM Peak Hour Frederick Lane | \# Trips | Turn Type | \% Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips | 6 |  |  |  |
| Trips Enter from Smoke Street Northbound |  | 0.6 | Right | 10.6 |
| Trips Enter from Smoke Street Southbound |  | 0.4 | Left | 6.1 |
| Trips Exit to Smoke Street Northbound |  | 1.8 | Right | 30.3 |
| Trips Exit to Smoke Street Southbound |  | 3.2 | Left | 53.0 |

Table 8: Summary of AM build turning movements to and from Frederick Lane

| Time | PM Peak Hour Frederick Lane | \# Trips | Turn Type | \% Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips | 7 |  |  |  |
| Trips Enter from Smoke Street Northbound |  | 2.5 | Right | 36.4 |
| Trips Enter from Smoke Street Southbound |  | 1.5 | Left | 20.8 |
| Trips Exit to Smoke Street Northbound |  | 1.1 | Right | 15.6 |
| Trips Exit to Smoke Street Southbound |  | 1.9 | Left | 27.3 |

Table 9: Summary of PM build turning movements to and from Frederick Lane

| Time | AM Peak Hour Shared DW Fort Hill RD | \# Trips | Turn Type | \% Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips | 2 |  |  |  |
| Trips Enter from Fort Hill Road Northbound |  | 1.0 | Left | 50.0 |
| Trips Enter from Fort Hill Road Southbound |  | 0.0 | Right | 0.0 |
| Trips Exit to Fort Hill Road Northbound |  | 0.0 | Left | 0.0 |
| Trips Exit to Fort Hill Road Southbound |  | 1.0 | Right | 50.0 |

Table 10: Summary of AM build turning movements to and from shared driveway

| Time | PM Peak Hour Shared DW Fort Hill RD | \# Trips | Turn Type | \% Distribution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips | 3 |  |  |  |
| Trips Enter from Fort Hill Road Northbound |  | 2.0 | Left | 66.7 |
| Trips Enter from Fort Hill Road Southbound |  | 0.0 | Right | 0.0 |
| Trips Exit to Fort Hill Road Northbound |  | 0.0 | Left | 0.0 |
| Trips Exit to Fort Hill Road Southbound |  | 1.0 | Right | 33.3 |

Table 11: Summary of PM build turning movements to and from shared driveway


| Time |  | AM Peak Hour Total Project Generation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# | \# Trips |  |
| Total Trips | 23 |  |
| Trips Enter from NH Route 125 |  | 3.8 |
| Trips Enter from US Route 4 |  | 2.2 |
| Trips Exit to NH Route 125 |  | 10.8 |
| Trips Exit to US Route 4 | 6.2 |  |

Table 12: Summary of total AM build turning movements

| Time | PM Peak Hour Total Project Generation | \# Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Trips | 29 |  |
| Trips Enter from NH Route 125 |  | 11.5 |
| Trips Enter from US Route 4 |  |  |
| Trips Exit to NH Route 125 |  |  |
| Trips Exit to US Route 4 |  |  |

Table 13: Summary of total PM build turning movements

## Sight Distance and Safety Analysis

Sight distance on Peekaboo Drive and Frederick Lane to the north and south, as well as roadway alignment are the two determining factors of safety. For a conservative measurement of sight distance, an $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 35 MPH will be used. For Peekaboo Drive, sight distance to the north un-obstructed for well over 250 feet (measured), while sight distance to the south is un-obstructed for well over 250 feet (measured). Using Exhibit 3-1 (Stopping Sight Distance) (Figure 9) in the Geometric Design Manual and the Nottingham Subdivision Regulations, and a $35 \mathrm{mph} 85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, requires a stopping sight distance of 250 feet for northbound and southbound traffic. Vegetation along Smoke Street in the vicinity of Peekaboo will need to be cleared to allow for adequate sight distance.

For Frederick Lane, sight distance to the north un-obstructed for well over 250 feet (measured), while sight distance to the south is un-obstructed for well over 250 feet (measured). Using Exhibit 3-1 (Stopping Sight Distance) (Figure 9) in the Geometric Design Manual, and a $35 \mathrm{mph} 85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed, requires a stopping sight distance of 250 feet for northbound and southbound traffic. There are no improvements required to obtain this sight distance.

With respect to general safety of Smoke Street in relation to the peak hour trip generation and AADT, it is our assessment that the cross section of Smoke Street is

adequate for the proposed increase in vehicle trips during the weekday AM/PM peak hour and all other time frames.
*AASHTO Geometric Design of Highways and Streets $7^{\text {th }}$ Edition (2018)

## Conclusions and Recommendations

1.) A total of 15 vehicle trips (4 enter/11 exit) are predicted to occur at the AM peak hour and 19 vehicle trips (12 enter/7 exit) at the PM peak hour for Peekaboo Drive.
2.) A total of 6 vehicle trips ( 1 enter/5 exit) are predicted to occur at the AM peak hour and 7 vehicle trips (4 enter/3 exit) at the PM peak hour for Frederick Lane.
3.) A total of 2 vehicle trips (1 enter/1 exit) are predicted to occur at the AM peak hour and 3 vehicle trips ( 2 enter/1 exit) at the PM peak hour for the shared driveway off Fort Hill Road.
4.) A total of 23 vehicle trips (6 enter/17 exit) are predicted to occur at the AM peak hour and 29 vehicle trips (18 enter/11 exit) at the PM peak hour for the entire subdivision.
5.) It is recommended that the cross section of Smoke Street will be able to handle the minimal projected increase in vehicle trips and peak hour and all other hours.

Respectfully Submitted,



Kenneth A. Berry, PE, LLS, CPSWQ, CPESC, CESSWI Principal, VP-Technical Operations

## Appendix A

## Traffic Data



Directions: 2WAY ${ }^{2}$

| AADT (7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | AADT | DHV-30 | K \% | D \% | PA | BC | Src |
|  | 2021 | $845^{3}$ |  | 11 |  | $767(91 \%)$ | $78(9 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2020 |
|  | 2020 | 762 | 87 | 11 |  | $692(91 \%)$ | $70(9 \%)$ |  |
|  | 2019 | $857^{3}$ |  | 13 |  | $785(92 \%)$ | $72(8 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2018 |
|  | 2018 | $847^{3}$ |  | 13 |  | $780(92 \%)$ | $67(8 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2017 |
|  | 2017 | 830 | 107 | 13 |  | $770(93 \%)$ | $60(7 \%)$ |  |

Figure 3: Smoke Street Historical AADT

Home Login + Locate \& Locate All Email This

Auto-Locate OFF


Directions: 2-WAY NB SB ${ }^{2}$ ?

| AADT (7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | AADT | DHV-30 | K \% | D \% | PA | BC | Src |
|  | 2021 | 18,604 | 1,723 | 9 | 51 | $16,912(91 \%)$ | $1,692(9 \%)$ |  |
|  | 2020 | $17,315^{3}$ |  | 10 | 54 | $15,756(91 \%)$ | $1,559(9 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2019 |
|  | 2019 | $20,515^{3}$ |  | 10 | 54 | $18,792(92 \%)$ | $1,723(8 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2018 |
|  | 2018 | 20,272 | 2,031 | 10 | 54 | $18,690(92 \%)$ | $1,582(8 \%)$ |  |
|  | 2017 | $19,768^{3}$ |  |  |  | $18,345(93 \%)$ | $1,423(7 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2016 |

Figure 4: NH Route 125 Historical AADT



#  <br> Transportation Data Management System 

| Home Login | + Locate $4+$ Locate All Email This |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Auto-Locate OFF

| List View | All DIRs |
| :--- | :--- |



STATION DATA
Directions: 2-WAY EB WB ${ }^{2}$ (?

| AADT (?) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year | AADT | DHV-30 | K \% | D \% | PA | BC | Src |
|  | 2021 | $10,631^{3}$ |  | 11 | 61 | $9,664(91 \%)$ | $967(9 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2020 |
|  | 2020 | 9,586 | 1,019 | 11 | 61 | $8,723(91 \%)$ | $863(9 \%)$ |  |
|  | 2019 | $11,689^{3}$ |  | 10 | 64 | $10,708(92 \%)$ | $981(8 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2018 |
|  | 2018 | $11,550^{3}$ |  | 10 | 64 | $10,650(92 \%)$ | $900(8 \%)$ | Grown <br> from 2017 |
|  | 2017 | 11,324 | 1,166 | 10 | 64 | $10,509(93 \%)$ | $815(7 \%)$ |  |

Figure 5: US Route 4 Historical AADT


## Appendix B

## Trip Generation Derivation <br> Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 174
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 246
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.43 | $4.45-22.61$ | 2.13 |

Data Plot and Equation


General Urban/Suburban and Rural (Land Uses 000-399) 219
Figure 6: ITE Trip Generation, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition
BERRY SURVEYING \& ENGINEERING
335 Second Crown Pt. Rd., Barrington, NH 03825
(603) 332-2863 / (603) 335-4623 FAX www.BerrySurveying.Com

## Single-Family Detached Housing (210)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 192
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 226
Directional Distribution: 26\% entering, 74\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.70 | $0.27-2.27$ | 0.24 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Single-Family Detached Housing

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 208
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 248
Directional Distribution: $63 \%$ entering, $37 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.94 | $0.35-2.98$ | 0.31 |

Data Plot and Equation
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## Appendix C

## Miscellaneous

Table 3-1. Stopping Sight Distance on Level Roadways

| U.S. Customary |  |  |  |  | Metric |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Speed (mph) | Brake Reaction Distance (ft) | Braking Distance on Level (ft) | Stopping <br> Sight Distance |  | Design <br> Speed <br> (km/h) | Brake Reaction Distance (m) | Braking Distance on Level (m) | Stopping Sight Distance |  |
|  |  |  | Calculated <br> (ft) | Design (ft) |  |  |  | Calculated (m) | Design (m) |
| 15 | 55.1 | 21.6 | 76.7 | 80 | 20 | 13.9 | 4.6 | 18.5 | 20 |
| 20 | 73.5 | 38.4 | 111.9 | 115 | 30 | 20.9 | 10.3 | 31.2 | 35 |
| 25 | 91.9 | 60.0 | 151.9 | 155 | 40 | 27.8 | 18.4 | 46.2 | 50 |
| 30 | 110.3 | 86.4 | 196.7 | 200 | 50 | 34.8 | 28.7 | 63.5 | 65 |
| 35 | 128.6 | 117.6 | 246.2 | 250 | 60 | 41.7 | 41.3 | 83.0 | 85 |
| 40 | 147.0 | 153.6 | 300.6 | 305 | 70 | 48.7 | 56.2 | 104.9 | 105 |
| 45 | 165.4 | 194.4 | 359.8 | 360 | 80 | 55.6 | 73.4 | 129.0 | 130 |
| 50 | 183.8 | 240.0 | 423.8 | 425 | 90 | 62.6 | 92.9 | 155.5 | 160 |
| 55 | 202.1 | 290.3 | 492.4 | 495 | 100 | 69.5 | 114.7 | 184.2 | 185 |
| 60 | 220.5 | 345.5 | 566.0 | 570 | 110 | 76.5 | 138.8 | 215.3 | 220 |
| 65 | 238.9 | 405.5 | 644.4 | 645 | 120 | 83.4 | 165.2 | 248.6 | 250 |
| 70 | 257.3 | 470.3 | 727.6 | 730 | 130 | 90.4 | 193.8 | 284.2 | 285 |
| 75 | 275.6 | 539.9 | 815.5 | 820 | 140 | 97.3 | 224.8 | 322.1 | 325 |
| 80 | 294.0 | 614.3 | 908.3 | 910 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 | 313.5 | 693.5 | 1007.0 | 1010 |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: Brake reaction distance predicated on a time of 2.5 s ; deceleration rate of $11.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\left[3.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}\right]$ used to determine calculated sight distance.

Figure 9: Derivation of stopping sight distance requirements


