- 1 Approved: July 21, 2020
- 2 Members Present: Bonnie Winona-MacKinnon, Chair; Teresa Bascom, Vice-chair; Terry
- 3 Bonser; Raelene Shippee-Rice; Peter White; Kevin Bassett, Alternate
- 4 Members Absent:
- 5 **Others Present:** JoAnna Arendarczyk, Land Use Clerk; Morrison, Real Estate Agent for Paul
- 6 Langdon; Paul Langdon, Applicant; Christian Smith, Surveyor; Caren Rossi (38 West Mill pond
- 7 Road, Lee, NH)
- 8 Call to order: 7:00pm
- 9 10
- Emergency Order #23

11 Chair explained that due to the COVID-19/Coronavirus crisis and in accordance with Governor 12 Sununu's Emergency Order #23 pursuant to Executive Order 2020-04 boards are authorized to 13 meet electronically.

• Method of voting agreed to by the Board:

15 As all members are visible during this Zoom meeting the Board agreed to Vote by raising their

- 16 Right hand on screen which was visible by all who were in attendance.
- 17

14

18 Italic font below notes public hearing notice language

19 Public Hearing

- 20 *Case 20-005-VA* Application from Langdon Construction, LLC for a Variance request from
- 21 Article III Section 5.a of the Nottingham Zoning Ordinance to allow the frontage subdivision
- 22 application to proceed with the Nottingham Planning Board without a Hydrogeologic study
- 23 where the ordinance stated one shall be required. The property is located at 209 Mill Pond Rd.
- 24 and Kelsey Road in Nottingham, NH and is identified as Tax Map 24 Lot 141 Sublot 2.
- 25 Christian Smith, Surveyor, introduced his client and applicant Paul Langdon as well as the Real
- 26 Estate Agent Mr. Morrison. Mr. Smith shared his screen with the Board to accurately note the
- 27 aquifer overlay and the test pit locations on the plans (file) with the Board. The plans have been
- 28 discussed with GeoInsight and the determination was that the aquifer overlay is likely inaccurate
- 29 due to the test pits results. The water is likely running from the site.
- 30 Mr. Smith highlighted the fact that despite the probability of not being in the aquifer overlay the
- 31 subdivision is being designed as if it were, with regards to the acreage and the location of the
- 32 septic systems.
- 33 Mr. White asked Mr. Smith how much the study would cost. Mr. Smith replied \$15,000.00 due
- 34 to the number of wells to be drilled as well as the time put into studying the results. Mr. White
- 35 also questioned if the test pits would have given different results if they were done in the spring
- 36 rather than the late fall as they were done. Mr. Smith informed Mr. White that the soil profiles
- 37 would not have changed that quickly. Mr. White stated that he drove by the site and noted
- 38 wetlands and is concerned about the environmental impact in that area. Mr. Smith responded to
- 39 that concern by stating that aquifers are not found in wetlands; the soils of an aquifer are deep
- 40 coarse sands.
- 41 Mr. Bassett commented on the staff review memo dated March 6, 2020 (file) done by Stefanie
- 42 Casella (SRPC Planner contracted with the Nottingham Planning Board). He asked Mr. Smith if
- 43 the recommendation from Ms. Casella to send the application to CMA Engineers for review had
- 44 been done. Mr. Smith stated he was not aware of that being done, however an abutter, who is a
- 45 retired civil engineer spoke at the Planning Board meeting and stated that the soils from the test
- 46 pits are not that of soils found in an aquifer zone.

For the Nottingham Zoning Board of Adjustment ~ JoAnna Arendarczyk; Land Use Clerk

- 47 Mr. Bassett asked if the ZBA was going to consider the recommendation for peer review to be
- 48 done by CMA seeing it had not been done.
- 49 Mr. Bonser stated that the maps likely depict the Aquifer Zone inaccurately. The maps were
- 50 probably developed in the 1980's- 1990's, without scientific studies to back them up. He stated
- 51 that the soils from the test pits are not deep enough to be considered in the Aquifer District, the
- 52 water tables are too high.

57

- 53 Mr. Langdon reminded the Board that they are not being asked to determine if the project is in
- 54 the Aquifer District. The Subdivision is being designed as if it were confirmed as such. The
- 55 request is to grant a Variance to the Hydrogeological Study requirement.
- 56 Mrs. Bascom commented on a few things:
 - 1. The study would not show much at this point as there is a current septic
- 58
 2. No change in the subdivision plan if the study is done- the applicant isn't looking for a waiver of the requirements of the Aquifer Zone
- Blanning Board still has further say on the subdivision- the ZBA only determines if the study is required
- 62 Mr. Smith explained in greater detail the soil findings of the test pits done. The results being that
- 63 they were too fine and more silt like soil which is atypical of Aquifer Zones which have more
- 64 gravel soils that drain well.
- 65 Mr. Bassett maintained his position that the soil tests should go for peer review as recommended
- 66 by Ms. Casella.
- Mr. White added that the study should be done as well, science should be considered notopinions.
- 69 Mr. Smith read the responses to the five (5) criteria as found in the application (file).
- 70 **Public Comment:** Caren Rossi, resident of Lee on West Mill Pond Road and Code
- 71 Administrator for the Town of Lee, NH- comments:
- 1. No greater impact than the Marston Property ball fields will be
- 73
 2. The study is not necessary because the requirements to build in the Aquifer Zone will be met
- 75 3. The subdivision will clean up the property and add value to the surrounding area
- 76 Mr. White added that he is concerned about chemicals from new properties as well as the ball
- fields. He asked if it is an "unnecessary hardship to have a developer spend \$15,000.00 to
- 78 protect the environment?"
- 79 Mr. Morrison (28 Spruce Street Exeter, NH) Mr. Langdon's Real Estate Agent, asked the Board
- 80 to consider the fact that if the study is required and it is found that the parcel is deemed not to be
- 81 in the Aquifer Zone, than they could add another lot or two to the subdivision.
- 82 Mrs. Bascom echoed the words of Mr. Morrison.
- 83 Mr. White countered that nothing should be determined until the facts and scientific research is
- 84 presented and that includes the Hydrogeological Study.
- 85 Mr. Bassett stated that he thinks a peer reviews opinion on this would be value added and that if
- the study were done it would help firm up the lines of what may be an outdated map depicting aninaccurate Aquifer Zone.
- 88 Mr. Langdon stated that their subdivision application is environmentally minded as shown in the
- 89 subdivision proposal meeting the requirements for the Aquifer Zone.
- 90 Ms. Shippee-Rice asked if a specialized septic system could be installed regardless of the study?
- 91 Mr. Langdon stated that hadn't been considered yet due to the science of the soils in that
- 92 location. However, he will look into the suggestion.

For the Nottingham Zoning Board of Adjustment ~ JoAnna Arendarczyk; Land Use Clerk

- 93 Public Hearing Closed: 8:20pm
- 94 Mr. Bassett re-iterated his recommendation to forward the application to CMA for review.
- 95 Motion Made by: Ms. Shippee-Rice to follow the recommendation made by the planner in the
- 96 letter submitted to the to the ZBA. To forward the application to CMA for review within 30
- 97 days, by June 17, 2020.
- 98 Seconded by: Mr. White

99 Roll Call Vote:

Bonnie Winona-MacKinnon	Nay	Terry Bonser	Nay
Teresa Bascom	Nay	Raelene Shippee-Rice	Aye
Peter White	Aye		

100 2-3-0 Motion Failed

- 101
- 102 **Motion Made by:** Mrs. Bascom to approve the request for case #20-005-VA Application from
- 103 Langdon Construction, LLC for a Variance request from Article III Section 5.a of the
- 104 Nottingham Zoning Ordinance to allow the frontage subdivision application to proceed with the
- 105 Nottingham Planning Board without a Hydrogeologic study. The property is located at 209 Mill
- 106 Pond Rd. and Kelsey Road in Nottingham, NH and is identified as Tax Map 24 Lot 141 Sublot 2.
- 107 Seconded by: Mr. Bonser

108 Roll Call Vote:

Bonnie Winona-MacKinnon	Aye	Terry Bonser	Aye
Teresa Bascom	Aye	Raelene Shippee-Rice	Aye
Peter White	Nay		

109 4-1-0 Motion Passed

110 The applicant was informed of the 45-day appeal window.

111 Public Meeting

• **Review and Approve By-Laws and Rules of Procedure**

- 113 Ms. Shippee-Rice had edits to share but had not sent them to the Land Use Clerk. She agreed to
- send them for review. The approval of the By-Laws and Rules of Procedure was tabled to the
- 115 next meeting.

116 Staff/ Board Members Update

- 117 Currently there are no cases for June. The Board agreed to cancel the meeting for June if there
- are no cases to be heard by May 21, 2020.
- 119 **Minutes**
- 120 January 21, 2020
- 121 Board member Mr. Bassett's name needed to be edited.
- 122 **Motion Made by:** Mrs. Bascom to approve the January 21, 2020 minutes as written.
- 123 Seconded by: Mr. Bonser

124 Roll Call Vote:

Bonnie Winona-MacKinnon	Aye	Terry Bonser	Aye
Teresa Bascom	Aye	Raelene Shippee-Rice	Aye
Peter White	Nay	Kevin Bassett	Abstain

125 4-1-1 Motion Passed

- 126
- 127 April 21, 2020
- 128 One edit requested for line 99- to state that Mr. Bassett has <u>started clearing</u>
- 129 **Motion Made by:** Mrs. Bascom to approve the April 21, 2020 minutes as amended. For the Nottingham Zoning Board of Adjustment ~ JoAnna Arendarczyk; Land Use Clerk

- 130 Seconded by: Mr. Bonser
- 131 Roll Call Vote:

Bonnie Winona-MacKinnon	Aye	Terry Bonser	Aye
Teresa Bascom	Aye	Raelene Shippee-Rice	Aye
Peter White	Abstained	Kevin Bassett	Aye

132 4-0-1 Motion Passed

133

134 ADJOURNMENT

- 135 Motion Made By: Mrs. Bascom
- 136 Seconded By: Mr. Bonser
- 137 Vote: 6-0-0 Motion Passed
- 138 **Adjourn at:** 8:40pm